

# Appendix A. Search Strategies Treatment of Non-Metastatic Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer

## Primary Search Strategy (Ovid MEDLINE)

1. exp Urinary Bladder Neoplasms/
2. (((non or "not") adj (invas\$ or invad\$ or infiltrat\$)) or noninvas\$ or noninvad\$ or noninfiltrat\$) adj5 muscle\$.mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier]
3. (cis or Tis or ta or t1\$).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier]
4. 2 or 3
5. ((sign or signs or symptom\$ or possib\$ or suspect\$ or potential\$) adj5 (bladder\$ adj3 (cancer\$ or tumor\$ or tumour\$ or neoplas\$ or carcino\$ or malig\$ or adenocarcin\$))).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier]
6. 4 or 5
7. 1 and 6
8. exp Biological Markers/
9. 7 and 8
10. ((urin\$ adj3 biotmark\$) or bladder tumor associated antigen\$ or nuclear matrix protein or nmp22 or fluorescence in situ hybrid\$ or (fish adj assay\$) or fibroblast growth factor receptor 3 or fgfr3 or cxbladder or immunocyt or cytokeratin fragment\$ or cyfra 21-1 or (cytokerat\$ adj3 (tpa or tps)) or survivin or telomeras\$ or vascular endothelial growth factor\$ or vegf or metalloproteinase\$ or mmp-2 or mmp-9 or twist homolog\$ or twist1 or nidogen-2 or nid2).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier]
11. 7 and 10
12. ((assess\$ or analyz\$ or judg\$ or consider\$ or quantif\$ or predict\$ or identif\$ or adapt\$) adj7 risk\$).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier]
13. exp Surgical Procedures, Operative/
14. exp Drug Therapy/
15. exp Antineoplastic Agents/
16. exp Radiotherapy/
17. (th or su or rt or dh or dt).fs.
18. 13 or 14 or 15 or 16 or 17
19. 12 and 18
20. 7 and 19
21. (mitomycin\$ or apaziquone or paclitaxel or gemcitabine or thiotepa or valrubicin or doxorubicin or bacillus calmette guerin or bcg or interferon\$).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, keyword heading word,

## Appendix A. Search Strategies Treatment of Non-Metastatic Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer

- protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier]
22. 7 and 21
  23. (electromotiv\$ or emda).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier]
  24. 1 and 23
  25. (blue adj5 cystoscop\$).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier]
  26. 1 and 25
  27. exp Radiotherapy/
  28. rt.fs.
  29. 27 or 28
  30. 7 and 29
  31. 9 or 11 or 20 or 22 or 24 or 26 or 30
  32. exp Urinary Bladder Neoplasms/
  33. ((invas\$ or invad\$ or infiltrat\$) adj5 muscl\$).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier]
  34. (t2\$ or t3\$).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier]
  35. 33 or 34
  36. 32 and 35
  37. cystectom\$.mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier]
  38. ((excis\$ or remov\$ or ((cut or cutting or cuts) adj3 (out or away)))) adj5 bladder\$).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier]
  39. 37 or 38
  40. (bladder\$ adj5 (spare or sparing or spares or spared or preserv\$)).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier]
  41. (avoid\$ adj7 cystectom\$).mp.
  42. 40 or 41
  43. exp Lymph Node Excision/
  44. ((excis\$ or remov\$ or ((cut or cutting or cuts) adj3 (out or away)))) adj5 (lymph\$ or node or nodes)).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier]
  45. 43 or 44

## Appendix A. Search Strategies Treatment of Non-Metastatic Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer

46. (adjuvant\$ or neoadjuvant\$).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier]
47. (abraxane or carboplatin\$ or cisplatin\$ or docetaxel or doxorubicin or epirubicin or 5-fluorouracil or gemcitabine or methotrexate or mitomycin or paclitaxel or valrubicin or vinblastin).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier]
48. 46 or 47
49. 39 or 42 or 45 or 48
50. 36 and 49
51. 31 or 50
52. limit 51 to yr="1990 -Current"
53. limit 52 to english language
54. limit 52 to abstracts
55. 53 or 54

## Database: EBM Reviews - Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials

1. ((Urinar\$ or urothel\$) adj5 (bladder\$ adj3 (neoplas\$ or cancer\$ or tumor\$ or tumour\$ or carcino\$ or adenocarcin\$ or malig\$))).mp. [mp=title, original title, abstract, mesh headings, heading words, keyword]
2. (((non or "not") adj (invas\$ or invad\$ or infiltrat\$)) or noninvas\$ or noninvad\$ or noninfiltrat\$) adj5 muscle\$).mp. [mp=title, original title, abstract, mesh headings, heading words, keyword]
3. (cis or Tis or ta or t1\$).mp. [mp=title, original title, abstract, mesh headings, heading words, keyword]
4. 2 or 3
5. ((sign or signs or symptom\$ or possib\$ or suspect\$ or potential\$) adj5 (bladder\$ adj3 (cancer\$ or tumor\$ or tumour\$ or neoplas\$ or carcino\$ or malig\$ or adenocarcin\$))).mp. [mp=title, original title, abstract, mesh headings, heading words, keyword]
6. 4 or 5
7. 1 and 6
8. ((urin\$ adj3 biomark\$) or bladder tumor associated antigen\$ or nuclear matrix protein or nmp22 or fluorescence in situ hybrid\$ or (fish adj assay\$) or fibroblast growth factor receptor 3 or fgfr3 or cxbladder or immunocyt or cytokeratin fragment\$ or cyfra 21-1 or (cytokerat\$ adj3 (tpa or tps)) or survivin or telomeras\$ or vascular endothelial growth factor\$ or vegf or metalloproteinase\$ or mmp-2 or mmp-9 or twist homolog\$ or twist1 or nidogen-2 or nid2).mp. [mp=title, original title, abstract, mesh headings, heading words, keyword]
9. 7 and 8
10. ((assess\$ or analyz\$ or judg\$ or consider\$ or quantif\$ or predict\$ or identif\$ or adapt\$) adj7 risk\$).mp. [mp=title, original title, abstract, mesh headings, heading words, keyword]

## Appendix A. Search Strategies Treatment of Non-Metastatic Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer

11. (surger\$ or surgic\$ or surgeon\$ or cystectom\$ or excis\$ or (remov\$ adj3 bladder\$)).mp. [mp=title, original title, abstract, mesh headings, heading words, keyword]
12. ((drug\$ adj3 (therap\$ or treat\$ or regimen\$ or protocol\$)) or pharmacother\$ or chemother\$).mp. [mp=title, original title, abstract, mesh headings, heading words, keyword]
13. Antineoplastic\$.mp. [mp=title, original title, abstract, mesh headings, heading words, keyword]
14. (Radiother\$ or ((radio\$ or irradiat\$ or radiat\$ or x-ray or gamma) adj3 (treat\$ or therap\$ or protocol\$))).mp. [mp=title, original title, abstract, mesh headings, heading words, keyword]
15. 11 or 12 or 13 or 14
16. 10 and 15
17. 7 and 16
18. (mitomycin\$ or apaziquone or paclitaxel or gemcitabine or thiotepa or valrubicin or doxorubicin or bacillus calmette guerin or bcg or interferon\$).mp. [mp=title, original title, abstract, mesh headings, heading words, keyword]
19. 7 and 18
20. (electromotiv\$ or emda).mp. [mp=title, original title, abstract, mesh headings, heading words, keyword]
21. 1 and 20
22. (blue adj5 cystoscop\$).mp. [mp=title, original title, abstract, mesh headings, heading words, keyword]
23. 1 and 22
24. 9 or 17 or 19 or 21 or 23
25. ((Urinar\$ or urothel\$) adj5 (bladder\$ adj3 (neoplas\$ or cancer\$ or tumor\$ or tumour\$ or carcino\$ or adenocarcin\$ or malig\$))).mp. [mp=title, original title, abstract, mesh headings, heading words, keyword]
26. ((invas\$ or invad\$ or infiltrat\$) adj5 muscl\$).mp. [mp=title, original title, abstract, mesh headings, heading words, keyword]
27. (t2\$ or t3\$).mp. [mp=title, original title, abstract, mesh headings, heading words, keyword]
28. 26 or 27
29. 25 and 28
30. cystectom\$.mp. [mp=title, original title, abstract, mesh headings, heading words, keyword]
31. ((excis\$ or remov\$ or ((cut or cutting or cuts) adj3 (out or away))) adj5 bladder\$).mp. [mp=title, original title, abstract, mesh headings, heading words, keyword]
32. 30 or 31
33. (bladder\$ adj5 (spare or sparing or spares or spared or preserv\$)).mp. [mp=title, original title, abstract, mesh headings, heading words, keyword]
34. (avoid\$ adj7 cystectom\$).mp.
35. 33 or 34
36. ((excis\$ or remov\$ or biops\$ or ((cut or cutting or cuts) adj3 (out or away))) adj5 (lymph\$ or node or nodes)).mp. [mp=title, original title, abstract, mesh headings, heading words, keyword]

## **Appendix A. Search Strategies Treatment of Non-Metastatic Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer**

37. (adjuvant\$ or neoadjuvant\$).mp. [mp=title, original title, abstract, mesh headings, heading words, keyword]
38. (abraxane or carboplatin\$ or cisplatin\$ or docetaxel or doxorubicin or epirubicin or 5-fluorouracil or gemcitabine or methotrexate or mitomycin or paclitaxel or valrubicin or vinblastin).mp. [mp=title, original title, abstract, mesh headings, heading words, keyword]
39. 37 or 38
40. 32 or 35 or 36 or 39
41. 29 and 40
42. 24 or 41
43. limit 42 to yr="1990 -Current"

### **Database: EBM Reviews – Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews**

1. ((Urinar\$ or urothel\$) adj5 (bladder\$ adj3 (neoplas\$ or cancer\$ or tumor\$ or tumour\$ or carcino\$ or adenocarcin\$ or malig\$))).mp. [mp=title, abstract, full text, keywords, caption text]

### **Database: EBM Reviews – Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects**

1. ((Urinar\$ or urothel\$) adj5 (bladder\$ adj3 (neoplas\$ or cancer\$ or tumor\$ or tumour\$ or carcino\$ or adenocarcin\$ or malig\$))).mp. [mp=title, full text, keywords]
2. (((non or "not") adj (invas\$ or invad\$ or infiltrat\$)) or noninvas\$ or noninvas\$ or noninfiltrat\$) adj5 muscle\$).mp. [mp=title, full text, keywords]
3. (cis or Tis or ta or t1\$).mp. [mp=title, full text, keywords]
4. 2 or 3
5. ((sign or signs or symptom\$ or possib\$ or suspect\$ or potential\$) adj5 (bladder\$ adj3 (cancer\$ or tumor\$ or tumour\$ or neoplas\$ or carcino\$ or malig\$ or adenocarcin\$))).mp. [mp=title, full text, keywords]
6. 4 or 5
7. 1 and 6
8. ((urin\$ adj3 biomark\$) or bladder tumor associated antigen\$ or nuclear matrix protein or nmp22 or fluorescence in situ hybrid\$ or (fish adj assay\$) or fibroblast growth factor receptor 3 or fgfr3 or cxbladder or immunocyt or cytokeratin fragment\$ or cyfra 21-1 or (cytokerat\$ adj3 (tpa or tps)) or survivin or telomeras\$ or vascular endothelial growth factor\$ or vegf or metalloproteinase\$ or mmp-2 or mmp-9 or twist homolog\$ or twist1 or nidogen-2 or nid2).mp. [mp=title, full text, keywords]
9. 7 and 8
10. ((assess\$ or analyz\$ or judg\$ or consider\$ or quantif\$ or predict\$ or identif\$ or adapt\$) adj7 risk\$).mp. [mp=title, full text, keywords]
11. (surger\$ or surgic\$ or surgeon\$ or cystectom\$ or excis\$ or (remov\$ adj3 bladder\$)).mp. [mp=title, full text, keywords]
12. ((drug\$ adj3 (therap\$ or treat\$ or regimen\$ or protocol\$)) or pharmacother\$ or chemother\$).mp. [mp=title, full text, keywords]

## Appendix A. Search Strategies Treatment of Non-Metastatic Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer

13. Antineoplastic\$.mp. [mp=title, full text, keywords]
14. (Radiother\$ or ((radio\$ or irradiat\$ or radiat\$ or x-ray or gamma) adj3 (treat\$ or therap\$ or protocol\$))).mp. [mp=title, full text, keywords]
15. 11 or 12 or 13 or 14
16. 10 and 15
17. 7 and 16
18. (mitomycin\$ or apaziquone or paclitaxel or gemcitabine or thiotepa or valrubicin or doxorubicin or bacillus calmette guerin or bcg or interferon\$).mp. [mp=title, full text, keywords]
19. 7 and 18
20. (electromotiv\$ or emda).mp. [mp=title, full text, keywords]
21. 1 and 20
22. (blue adj5 cystoscop\$).mp. [mp=title, full text, keywords]
23. 1 and 22
24. 9 or 17 or 19 or 21 or 23
25. ((Urinar\$ or urothel\$) adj5 (bladder\$ adj3 (neoplas\$ or cancer\$ or tumor\$ or tumour\$ or carcino\$ or adenocarcin\$ or malig\$))).mp. [mp=title, full text, keywords]
26. ((invas\$ or invad\$ or infiltrat\$) adj5 muscl\$).mp. [mp=title, full text, keywords]
27. (t2\$ or t3\$).mp. [mp=title, full text, keywords]
28. 26 or 27
29. 25 and 28
30. cystectom\$.mp. [mp=title, full text, keywords]
31. ((excis\$ or remov\$ or ((cut or cutting or cuts) adj3 (out or away))) adj5 bladder\$).mp. [mp=title, full text, keywords]
32. 30 or 31
33. (bladder\$ adj5 (spare or sparing or spares or spared or preserv\$)).mp. [mp=title, full text, keywords]
34. (avoid\$ adj7 cystectom\$).mp.
35. 33 or 34
36. ((excis\$ or remov\$ or biops\$ or ((cut or cutting or cuts) adj3 (out or away))) adj5 (lymph\$ or node or nodes)).mp. [mp=title, full text, keywords]
37. (adjuvant\$ or neoadjuvant\$).mp. [mp=title, full text, keywords]
38. (abraxane or carboplatin\$ or cisplatin\$ or docetaxel or doxorubicin or epirubicin or 5-fluorouracil or gemcitabine or methotrexate or mitomycin or paclitaxel or valrubicin or vinblastin).mp. [mp=title, full text, keywords]
39. 37 or 38
40. 32 or 35 or 36 or 39
41. 29 and 40
42. 24 or 41

## Database: EBM Reviews – Health Technology Assessment

1. ((Urinar\$ or urothel\$) adj5 (bladder\$ adj3 (neoplas\$ or cancer\$ or tumor\$ or tumour\$ or carcino\$ or adenocarcin\$ or malig\$))).mp. [mp=title, text, subject heading word]

## Appendix A. Search Strategies Treatment of Non-Metastatic Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer

### Database: EBM Reviews – NHS Economic Evaluation Database

1. ((Urinar\$ or urothel\$) adj5 (bladder\$ adj3 (neoplas\$ or cancer\$ or tumor\$ or tumour\$ or carcino\$ or adenocarcin\$ or malig\$))).mp. [mp=title, text, subject heading word]
2. (((non or "not") adj (invas\$ or invad\$ or infiltrat\$)) or noninvas\$ or noninvad\$ or noninfiltrat\$) adj5 muscle\$).mp. [mp=title, text, subject heading word]
3. (cis or Tis or ta or t1\$).mp. [mp=title, text, subject heading word]
4. 2 or 3
5. ((sign or signs or symptom\$ or possib\$ or suspect\$ or potential\$) adj5 (bladder\$ adj3 (cancer\$ or tumor\$ or tumour\$ or neoplas\$ or carcino\$ or malig\$ or adenocarcin\$))).mp. [mp=title, text, subject heading word]
6. 4 or 5
7. 1 and 6
8. ((urin\$ adj3 biomark\$) or bladder tumor associated antigen\$ or nuclear matrix protein or nmp22 or fluorescence in situ hybrid\$ or (fish adj assay\$) or fibroblast growth factor receptor 3 or fgfr3 or cxbladder or immunocyt or cytokeratin fragment\$ or cyfra 21-1 or (cytokerat\$ adj3 (tpa or tps)) or survivin or telomeras\$ or vascular endothelial growth factor\$ or vegf or metalloproteinase\$ or mmp-2 or mmp-9 or twist homolog\$ or twist1 or nidogen-2 or nid2).mp. [mp=title, text, subject heading word]
9. 7 and 8
10. ((assess\$ or analyz\$ or judg\$ or consider\$ or quantif\$ or predict\$ or identif\$ or adapt\$) adj7 risk\$).mp. [mp=title, text, subject heading word]
11. (surger\$ or surgic\$ or surgeon\$ or cystectomy\$ or excis\$ or (remov\$ adj3 bladder\$)).mp. [mp=title, text, subject heading word]
12. ((drug\$ adj3 (therap\$ or treat\$ or regimen\$ or protocol\$)) or pharmacother\$ or chemother\$).mp. [mp=title, text, subject heading word]
13. Antineoplastic\$.mp. [mp=title, text, subject heading word]
14. (Radiother\$ or ((radio\$ or irradiat\$ or radiat\$ or x-ray or gamma) adj3 (treat\$ or therap\$ or protocol\$))).mp. [mp=title, text, subject heading word]
15. 11 or 12 or 13 or 14
16. 10 and 15
17. 7 and 16
18. (mitomycin\$ or apaziquone or paclitaxel or gemcitabine or thiotepa or valrubicin or doxorubicin or bacillus calmette guerin or bcg or interferon\$).mp. [mp=title, text, subject heading word]
19. 7 and 18
20. (electromotiv\$ or emda).mp. [mp=title, text, subject heading word]
21. 1 and 20 (0)
22. (blue adj5 cystoscop\$).mp. [mp=title, text, subject heading word]
23. 1 and 22
24. 9 or 17 or 19 or 21 or 23
25. ((Urinar\$ or urothel\$) adj5 (bladder\$ adj3 (neoplas\$ or cancer\$ or tumor\$ or tumour\$ or carcino\$ or adenocarcin\$ or malig\$))).mp. [mp=title, text, subject heading word]
26. ((invas\$ or invad\$ or infiltrat\$) adj5 muscul\$).mp. [mp=title, text, subject heading word]
27. (t2\$ or t3\$).mp. [mp=title, text, subject heading word]
28. 26 or 27

## Appendix A. Search Strategies Treatment of Non-Metastatic Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer

29. 25 and 28
30. cystectom\$.mp. [mp=title, text, subject heading word]
31. ((excis\$ or remov\$ or ((cut or cutting or cuts) adj3 (out or away))) adj5 bladder\$).mp.  
[mp=title, text, subject heading word]
32. 30 or 31
33. (bladder\$ adj5 (spare or sparing or spares or spared or preserv\$)).mp. [mp=title, text, subject heading word]
34. (avoid\$ adj7 cystectom\$).mp.
35. 33 or 34
36. ((excis\$ or remov\$ or biops\$ or ((cut or cutting or cuts) adj3 (out or away))) adj5 (lymph\$ or node or nodes)).mp. [mp=title, text, subject heading word]
37. (adjuvant\$ or neoadjuvant\$).mp. [mp=title, text, subject heading word]
38. (abraxane or carboplatin\$ or cisplatin\$ or docetaxel or doxorubicin or epirubicin or 5-fluorouracil or gemcitabine or methotrexate or mitomycin or paclitaxel or valrubicin or vinblastin).mp. [mp=title, text, subject heading word]
39. 37 or 38
40. 32 or 35 or 36 or 39
41. 29 and 40
42. 24 or 41



## Appendix B. PICOTS

PICOTS	Include
Populations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patients with node-negative, non-metastatic muscle-invasive bladder cancer (stages T2, T3, T4a)</li> </ul>
Interventions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bladder-preserving chemotherapy and/or radiation therapy [KQ 1, KQ 4]</li> <li>Partial cystectomy [KQ 1; KQ 4]</li> <li>Maximal TURBT [KQ 1; KQ 4]</li> <li>Regional lymph node excision in conjunction with cystectomy or partial cystectomy [KQ 2]</li> <li>Cystectomy plus Neoadjuvant and/or adjuvant chemotherapy [KQ 3; KQ 4]</li> <li>Include: Chemotherapy Regimens: carboplatin and gemcitabine; cisplatin and gemcitabine; “CMV” (cisplatin, methotrexate, and vinblastine) and “MVAC” (methotrexate, vinblastine, doxorubicin, and cisplatin); trials of other cisplatin-based combination regimens. Exclude: Trials that evaluate chemotherapy with a single agent.</li> </ul>
Comparators	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cystectomy alone [KQ 1; KQ 3; KQ 4]</li> <li>Cystectomy in combination with chemotherapy [KQ 1; KQ 4]</li> <li>Bladder-preserving chemotherapy, radiation therapy (external beam or interstitial radiation therapy), partial cystectomy, and/or maximal transurethral resection of bladder tumor [KQ 2]</li> </ul>
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mortality, disease-specific and all-cause (primary outcome) [KQ 1; KQ 2; KQ 3]</li> <li>Recurrence of bladder cancer [KQ 1; KQ 2; KQ 3]</li> <li>Progression or metastasis of bladder cancer [KQ 1; KQ 2; KQ 3]</li> <li>Quality of life [KQ 1; KQ 2; KQ 3]</li> <li>Functional status [KQ 1; KQ 2; KQ 3]</li> <li>Complications or adverse effects related to treatment [KQ 4]</li> </ul>
Timing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Any duration of followup</li> </ul>
Setting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Any settings</li> </ul>
Study Design	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>RCTs, cohort studies must be comparative</li> <li>Systematic reviews must evaluate quality of individual studies</li> </ul>

CMV, cisplatin, methotrexate, and vinblastine; KQ=key question; MVAC, methotrexate, vinblastine, doxorubicin, and cisplatin; PICOTS=populations, interventions, comparators, outcomes, timing, setting; RCTs, randomized controlled trials; T2, tumor stage 2; T3, tumor stage 3; T4a, tumor stage 4a; TURBT, transurethral resection of bladder tumor.

## Appendix C. Included Studies

Azuma H, Inamoto T, Ibuki N, et al. Utility of the novel bladder preservation therapy, BOAI-CDDP-radiation (OMC-regimen), for elderly patients with invasive bladder cancer. *International Journal of Oncology*. 2011 Jan;38(1):13-24. PMID: 21109921.

Bekelman JE, Handorf EA, Guzzo T, et al. Radical cystectomy versus bladder-preserving therapy for muscle-invasive urothelial carcinoma: examining confounding and misclassification bias in cancer observational comparative effectiveness research. *Value in Health*. 2013 Jun;16(4):610-8. PMID: 23796296.

Bono AV, Benvenuti C, Gibba A, et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy in locally advanced bladder cancer. Final analysis of a controlled multicentre study. *Acta Urologica Italica*. 1997;11(1):5-8. PMID: No PMID.

Brossner C, Pycha A, Toth A, et al. Does extended lymphadenectomy increase the morbidity of radical cystectomy? *BJU International*. 2004 Jan;93(1):64-6. PMID: 14678370.

Cognetti F, Ruggeri EM, Felici A, et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy with cisplatin and gemcitabine versus chemotherapy at relapse in patients with muscle-invasive bladder cancer submitted to radical cystectomy: an Italian, multicenter, randomized phase III trial. *Annals of Oncology*. 2012 Mar;23(3):695-700. PMID: 21859900.

Dash A, Pettus JAt, Herr HW, et al. A role for neoadjuvant gemcitabine plus cisplatin in muscle-invasive urothelial carcinoma of the bladder: a retrospective experience. *Cancer*. 2008 Nov 1;113(9):2471-7. PMID: 18823036.

Dhar NB, Klein EA, Reuther AM, et al. Outcome after radical cystectomy with limited or extended pelvic lymph node dissection. *Journal of Urology*. 2008 Mar;179(3):873-8; discussion 8. PMID: 18221953.

Fleischmann A, Thalmann GN, Markwalder R, et al. Extracapsular extension of pelvic lymph node metastases from urothelial carcinoma of the bladder is an independent prognostic factor. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2005 Apr 1;23(10):2358-65. PMID: 15800327.

Freiha F, Reese J, Torti FM. A randomized trial of radical cystectomy versus radical cystectomy plus cisplatin, vinblastine and methotrexate chemotherapy for muscle invasive bladder cancer. *Journal of Urology*. 1996 Feb;155(2):495-9; discussion 9-500. PMID: 8558644.

Grossman HB, Natale RB, Tangen CM, et al. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy plus cystectomy compared with cystectomy alone for locally advanced bladder cancer. [Erratum appears in *N Engl J Med*. 2003 Nov 6;349(19):1880]. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2003 Aug 28;349(9):859-66. PMID: 12944571.

Holmang S, Hedelin H, Anderstrom C, et al. Long-term followup of all patients with muscle invasive (stages T2, T3 and T4) bladder carcinoma in a geographical region. *Journal of Urology*. 1997 Aug;158(2):389-92. PMID: 9224309.

International Collaboration of Trialists. Neoadjuvant cisplatin, methotrexate, and vinblastine chemotherapy for muscle-invasive bladder cancer: a randomised controlled trial. International collaboration of trialists. [Erratum appears in *Lancet* 1999 Nov 6;354(9190):1650]. *Lancet*. 1999 Aug 14;354(9178):533-40. PMID: 10470696.

International Collaboration of Trialists, Medical Research Council Advanced Bladder Cancer Working P, European Organisation for R, et al. International phase III trial assessing neoadjuvant cisplatin, methotrexate, and vinblastine chemotherapy for muscle-invasive bladder cancer: long-term results of the BA06 30894 trial. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2011 Jun 1;29(16):2171-7. PMID: 21502557.

Kalogeras D, Lampri E, Goussia A, et al. Radical therapy for muscle-infiltrating bladder cancer (cystectomy or radiotherapy): does age affect the final therapeutic benefit for the patient? *Journal of B.U.ON*. 2008 Jul-Sep;13(3):353-8. PMID: 18979549.

Konety BR, Joslyn SA, O'Donnell MA. Extent of pelvic lymphadenectomy and its impact on outcome in patients diagnosed with bladder cancer: analysis of data from the Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results Program data base. *Journal of Urology*. 2003 Mar;169(3):946-50. PMID: 12576819.

Koppie TM, Vickers AJ, Vora K, et al. Standardization of pelvic lymphadenectomy performed at radical cystectomy: can we establish a minimum number of lymph nodes that should be removed? *Cancer*. 2006 Nov 15;107(10):2368-74. PMID: 17041887.

Kotwal S, Choudhury A, Johnston C, et al. Similar treatment outcomes for radical cystectomy and radical radiotherapy in invasive bladder cancer treated at a United Kingdom specialist treatment center. *International Journal of Radiation Oncology, Biology, Physics*. 2008 Feb 1;70(2):456-63. PMID: 17904301.

Leissner J, Hohenfellner R, Thuroff JW, et al. Lymphadenectomy in patients with transitional cell carcinoma of the urinary bladder; significance for staging and prognosis. *BJU International*. 2000 May;85(7):817-23. PMID: 10792159.

Malmstrom PU, Rintala E, Wahlqvist R, et al. Five-year followup of a prospective trial of radical cystectomy and neoadjuvant chemotherapy: Nordic Cystectomy Trial I. The Nordic Cooperative Bladder Cancer Study Group. *Journal of Urology*. 1996 Jun;155(6):1903-6. PMID: 8618283.

## Appendix C. Included Studies

Millikan R, Dinney C, Swanson D, et al. Integrated therapy for locally advanced bladder cancer: final report of a randomized trial of cystectomy plus adjuvant M-VAC versus cystectomy with both preoperative and postoperative M-VAC. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2001 Oct 15;19(20):4005-13. PMID: 11600601.

Nieuwenhuijzen JA, Pos F, Moonen LMF, et al. Survival after bladder-preservation with brachytherapy versus radical cystectomy; a single institution experience. *European Urology*. 2005 Aug;48(2):239-45. PMID: 16005375.

Pal SK, Ruel NH, Wilson TG, et al. Retrospective analysis of clinical outcomes with neoadjuvant cisplatin-based regimens for muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Clinical Genitourinary Cancer*. 2012 Dec;10(4):246-50. PMID: 22981208.

Poulsen AL, Horn T, Steven K. Radical cystectomy: extending the limits of pelvic lymph node dissection improves survival for patients with bladder cancer confined to the bladder wall. *Journal of Urology*. 1998 Dec;160(6 Pt 1):2015-9; discussion 20. PMID: 9817313.

Rincon Mayans A, Rosell Costa D, Zudaire Bergera JJ, et al. Response and progression-free survival in T2 to T4 bladder tumors treated with trimodality therapy with bladder preservation. *Actas Urologicas Espanolas*. 2010 Oct;34(9):775-80. PMID: 20843454.

Rintala E, Hannisdahl E, Fossa SD, et al. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy in bladder cancer: a randomized study. *Nordic Cystectomy Trial I. Scandinavian Journal of Urology & Nephrology*. 1993;27(3):355-62. PMID: 8290916.

Sell A, Jakobsen A, Nerstrom B, et al. Treatment of advanced bladder cancer category T2 T3 and T4a. A randomized multicenter study of preoperative irradiation and cystectomy versus radical irradiation and early salvage cystectomy for residual tumor. DAVECA protocol 8201. Danish Vesical Cancer Group. *Scandinavian Journal of Urology & Nephrology Supplementum*. 1991;138:193-201. PMID: 1785004.

Sherif A, Rintala E, Mestad O, et al. Neoadjuvant cisplatin-methotrexate chemotherapy for invasive bladder cancer -- Nordic cystectomy trial 2. *Scandinavian Journal of Urology & Nephrology*. 2002;36(6):419-25. PMID: 12623505.

Shirotake S, Kikuchi E, Matsumoto K, et al. Role of pelvic lymph node dissection in lymph node-negative patients with invasive bladder cancer. *Japanese Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2010 Mar;40(3):247-51. PMID: 19887521.

Simone G, Papalia R, Ferriero M, et al. Stage-specific impact of extended versus standard pelvic lymph node dissection in radical cystectomy. *International Journal of Urology*. 2013 Apr;20(4):390-7. PMID: 22970939.

Skinner DG, Daniels JR, Russell CA, et al. The role of adjuvant chemotherapy following cystectomy for invasive bladder cancer: a prospective comparative trial. *Journal of Urology*. 1991 Mar;145(3):459-64; discussion 64-7. PMID: 1997689.

Solsona E, Climent MA, Iborra I, et al. Bladder preservation in selected patients with muscle-invasive bladder cancer by complete transurethral resection of the bladder plus systemic chemotherapy: long-term follow-up of a phase 2 nonrandomized comparative trial with radical cystectomy. *European Urology*. 2009 Apr;55(4):911-9. PMID: 18722046.

Wosnitzer MS, Hruby GW, Murphy AM, et al. A comparison of the outcomes of neoadjuvant and adjuvant chemotherapy for clinical T2-T4aN0-N2M0 bladder cancer. *Cancer*. 2012 Jan 15;118(2):358-64. PMID: 21717438.

Yeshchina O, Badalato GM, Wosnitzer MS, et al. Relative efficacy of perioperative gemcitabine and cisplatin versus methotrexate, vinblastine, adriamycin, and cisplatin in the management of locally advanced urothelial carcinoma of the bladder. *Urology*. 2012 Feb;79(2):384-90. PMID: 22196406.

Zehnder P, Studer UE, Skinner EC, et al. Super extended versus extended pelvic lymph node dissection in patients undergoing radical cystectomy for bladder cancer: a comparative study. *Journal of Urology*. 2011 Oct;186(4):1261-8. PMID: 21849183.

## Appendix D. Excluded Studies

Abol-Enein H. Neo-adjuvant chemotherapy in the treatment of invasive transitional bladder cancer. A controlled, prospective randomized study. *Br J Urol*. 1997;79(Suppl. 4):43. PMID: No PMID. Excluded for not a study.

Abol-Enein H, El-Baz M, Abd El-Hameed MA, et al. Lymph node involvement in patients with bladder cancer treated with radical cystectomy: a patho-anatomical study--a single center experience. *J Urol*. 2004;172(5 Pt 1):1818-21. PMID: 15540728. Excluded for wrong outcome.

Abratt RP, Craighead P, Reddi VB, et al. A prospective randomised trial of radiation with or without oral and intravesical misonidazole for bladder cancer. *Br J Cancer*. 1991;64(5):968-70. PMID: 1931628. Excluded for wrong intervention.

Advanced Bladder Cancer Meta-analysis C. Adjuvant chemotherapy for invasive bladder cancer (individual patient data). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2006(2):CD006018. PMID: 16625650. Excluded for systematic review or meta-analysis used as source document only to identify individual studies.

Advanced Bladder Cancer Meta-analysis Collaboration. Neo-adjuvant chemotherapy for invasive bladder cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2004(2). PMID: 15846746. Excluded for systematic review or meta-analysis used as source document only to identify individual studies.

Advanced Bladder Cancer Meta-analysis Collaboration. Adjuvant chemotherapy in invasive bladder cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of individual patient data Advanced Bladder Cancer (ABC) Meta-analysis Collaboration. *Eur Urol*. 2005;48(2):189-99; discussion 99-201. PMID: 15939530. Excluded for systematic review or meta-analysis used as source document only to identify individual studies.

Advanced Bladder Cancer Meta-analysis Collaboration. WITHDRAWN: Neoadjuvant cisplatin for advanced bladder cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2011(6):CD001426. PMID: 21678333. Excluded for systematic review or meta-analysis used as source document only to identify individual studies.

Advanced Bladder Cancer Overview C. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy for invasive bladder cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2005(2):CD005246. PMID: 15846746. Excluded for systematic review or meta-analysis used as source document only to identify individual studies.

Akin Y, Celik O, Ates M, et al. Evaluation of open and laparoscopic radical cystoprostatectomy combined with orthotopic neobladder: a single-surgeon experience. *Urol Int*. 2013;90(3):348-53. PMID: 23406677. Excluded for wrong intervention.

Albers P, Park S-I, Niegisch G, et al. Randomized phase III trial of 2nd line gemcitabine and paclitaxel chemotherapy in patients with advanced bladder cancer: short-term versus prolonged treatment [German Association of Urological Oncology (AUO) trial AB 20/99]. *Ann Oncol*. 2011;22(2):288-94. PMID: 20682548. Excluded for wrong intervention.

Ali-el-Dein B, el-Baz M, Aly AN, et al. Intravesical epirubicin versus doxorubicin for superficial bladder tumors (stages pTa and pT1): a randomized prospective study. *J Urol*. 1997;158(1):68-73; discussion -4. PMID: 9186325. Excluded for wrong intervention.

Alva AS, Tallman CT, He C, et al. Efficient delivery of radical cystectomy after neoadjuvant chemotherapy for muscle-invasive bladder cancer: a multidisciplinary approach. *Cancer*. 2012;118(1):44-53. PMID: 21598245. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Anderstrom C, Johansson S, Nilsson S, et al. A prospective randomized study of preoperative irradiation with cystectomy or cystectomy alone for invasive bladder carcinoma. *Eur Urol*. 1983;9(3):142-7. PMID: 6861819. Excluded for wrong intervention.

Angulo Cuesta J, Flores Corral N. [Systemic chemotherapy with cisplatin, methotrexate, and vinblastine, and bladder preservation in T2/T3a bladder cancer]. *Actas Urol Esp*. 1997;21(1):28-33. PMID: 9182442. Excluded for not English language, but possibly relevant.

Aota Y, Yoshida K. [Intra-arterial chemotherapy for locally advanced bladder cancer]. *Hinyokika Kyo*. 1999;45(2):149-53. PMID: 10212791. Excluded for not English language, but possibly relevant.

Bajorin DF. Plenary debate of randomized phase III trial of neoadjuvant MVAC plus cystectomy versus cystectomy alone in patients with locally advanced bladder cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2001;19(18 Suppl):17S-20S. PMID: 11560966. Excluded for not a study.

Bassi P. Dose Response of Bacillus Calmette-Guerin (BCG) in superficial bladder cancer: a phase III randomized trial low-dose vs standard-dose BCG regimen. *J Urol*. 1992;146:32-5. PMID: No PMID. Excluded for not a study.

Bassi P. Neoadjuvant MVAC chemotherapy of invasive bladder cancer: results of a multicenter phase III trial. *J Urol*. 1999;161(Suppl. 4):264. PMID: No PMID. Excluded for not a study.

Bellmunt Molins J, Ribas A, Albanell J, et al. [Preliminary results of a phase II randomized controlled trial comparing M-VAC and M-CAVI in patients with bladder cancer (T2-4 N0-1 M0)]. *Arch Esp Urol*. 1996;49(5):465-72. PMID: 8766083. Excluded for not English language, but possibly relevant.

## Appendix D. Excluded Studies

Berian Polo JM, Zudaire Bergera JJ, Robles Garcia JE, et al. [Infiltrating bladder carcinoma: influence of complementary treatment on local control and survival]. *Actas Urol Esp.* 1994;18 Suppl:520-6. PMID: 8073942. Excluded for not English language, but possibly relevant.

Birkenhake S, Martus P, Kuhn R, et al. Radiotherapy alone or radiochemotherapy with platin derivatives following transurethral resection of the bladder. Organ preservation and survival after treatment of bladder cancer. *Strahlenther Onkol.* 1998;174(3):121-7. PMID: 9524620. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Blank LECM, Koedooder K, van Os R, et al. Results of bladder-conserving treatment, consisting of brachytherapy combined with limited surgery and external beam radiotherapy, for patients with solitary T1-T3 bladder tumors less than 5 cm in diameter. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2007;69(2):454-8. PMID: 17560734. Excluded for wrong population.

Böhm M, Vom Dorp F, Schostak M, et al. Urine cytology - Update 2013: A systematic review of recent literature. *Urinzytologie - Update 2013: Eine systematische Übersicht der neueren Literatur.* 2013;52(9):1207-24. PMID: 24026058. Excluded for not English language, but possibly relevant.

Bongiovanni L, Pirozzi F, Guidi F, et al. Bradeion (SEPT4) as a urinary marker of transitional cell bladder cancer: a real-time polymerase chain reaction study of gene expression. *J Urol.* 2012;187(6):2223-7. PMID: 22503047. Excluded for wrong intervention.

Brod JL, Kuhn R, Schafhauser W, et al. Radiochemotherapy in locally invasive non-metastatic carcinoma of the bladder. *Adv Exp Med Biol.* 2003;539(Pt A):143-53. PMID: 15088903. Excluded for wrong study design for Key Question.

Caffo O, Fellin G, Graffer U, et al. Gemcitabine and radiotherapy plus cisplatin after transurethral resection as conservative treatment for infiltrating bladder cancer: Long-term cumulative results of 2 prospective single-institution studies. *Cancer.* 2011;117(6):1190-6. PMID: 20960501. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Cam K, Yildirim A, Ozveri H, et al. The efficacy of neoadjuvant chemotherapy in invasive bladder cancer. *Int Urol Nephrol.* 2002;33(1):49-52. PMID: 12090338. Excluded for wrong study design for Key Question.

Chahal R, Sundaram SK, Iddenden R, et al. A study of the morbidity, mortality and long-term survival following radical cystectomy and radical radiotherapy in the treatment of invasive bladder cancer in Yorkshire. *Eur Urol.* 2003;43(3):246-57. PMID: 12600427. Excluded for wrong population.

Chung PWM, Bristow RG, Milosevic MF, et al. Long-term outcome of radiation-based conservation therapy for invasive bladder cancer. *Urol.* 2007;25(4):303-9. PMID: 17628296. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Clark PE, Stein JP, Groshen SG, et al. The management of urethral transitional cell carcinoma after radical cystectomy for invasive bladder cancer. *J Urol.* 2004;172(4 Pt 1):1342-7. PMID: 15371837. Excluded for wrong study design for Key Question.

Cole CJ, Pollack A, Zagars GK, et al. Local control of muscle-invasive bladder cancer: preoperative radiotherapy and cystectomy versus cystectomy alone. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 1995;32(2):331-40. PMID: 7751174. Excluded for wrong intervention.

Coppin CM, Gospodarowicz MK, James K, et al. Improved local control of invasive bladder cancer by concurrent cisplatin and preoperative or definitive radiation. The National Cancer Institute of Canada Clinical Trials Group. *J Clin Oncol.* 1996;14(11):2901-7. PMID: 8918486. Excluded for wrong population.

Croswell J, Fall B. Screening for bladder cancer. *Am Fam Physician.* 2012;85(4):401-2. PMID: 22335321. Excluded for not a study.

Danesi DT, Arcangeli G, Cruciani E, et al. Conservative treatment of invasive bladder carcinoma by transurethral resection, protracted intravenous infusion chemotherapy, and hyperfractionated radiotherapy: long term results. *Cancer.* 2004;101(11):2540-8. PMID: 15481058. Excluded for wrong intervention.

Davis R, Jones JS, Barocas DA, et al. Diagnosis, evaluation and follow-up of asymptomatic microhematuria (AMH) in adults: AUA guideline. *J Urol.* 2012;188(6 SUPPL.):2473-81. PMID: 23098784. Excluded for not a study.

De Castro F, Sanchez PL, Aguera LG, et al. [Infiltrating carcinoma of the bladder: preliminary results of multidisciplinary protocols with radiotherapy and neoadjuvant chemotherapy]. *Actas Urol Esp.* 1992;16(2):127-32. PMID: 1590087. Excluded for not English language, but possibly relevant.

De Neve W, Lybeert ML, Goor C, et al. T1 and T2 carcinoma of the urinary bladder: long term results with external, preoperative, or interstitial radiotherapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 1992;23(2):299-304. PMID: 1587750. Excluded for wrong intervention.

De Santis M, Bellmunt J, Mead G, et al. Randomized phase II/III trial assessing gemcitabine/carboplatin and methotrexate/carboplatin/vinblastine in patients with advanced urothelial cancer who are unfit for cisplatin-based chemotherapy: EORTC study 30986. *J Clin Oncol.* 2012;30(2):191-9. PMID: 22162575. Excluded for wrong population.

## Appendix D. Excluded Studies

Donat SM, Siegrist T, Cronin A, et al. Radical cystectomy in octogenarians--does morbidity outweigh the potential survival benefits? *J Urol*. 2010;183(6):2171-7. PMID: 20399461. Excluded for wrong intervention.

Droller MJ. Neoadjuvant cisplatin, methotrexate, and vinblastine chemotherapy for muscle-invasive bladder cancer: a randomised controlled trial. *J Urol*. 2000;163(5):1602-3. PMID: 10798921. Excluded for not a study.

Droller MJ. Chemoradiotherapy for muscle invading bladder carcinoma. Final report of a single institutional organ-sparing program. *J Urol*. 2002;167(5):2297-8. PMID: 11956495. Excluded for not a study.

Dunst J, Sauer R, Schrott KM, et al. Organ-sparing treatment of advanced bladder cancer: a 10-year experience. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 1994;30(2):261-6. PMID: 7928455. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Durkan GC, Nutt JE, Marsh C, et al. Alteration in urinary matrix metalloproteinase-9 to tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-1 ratio predicts recurrence in nonmuscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2003;9(7):2576-82. PMID: 12855633. Excluded for wrong intervention.

Durkan GC, Nutt JE, Rajjayabun PH, et al. Prognostic significance of matrix metalloproteinase-1 and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-1 in voided urine samples from patients with transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2001;7(11):3450-6. PMID: 11705862. Excluded for wrong intervention.

Efstathiou JA, Bae K, Shipley WU, et al. Late pelvic toxicity after bladder-sparing therapy in patients with invasive bladder cancer: RTOG 89-03, 95-06, 97-06, 99-06. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009;27(25):4055-61. PMID: 19636019. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Eijan AM, Sandes E, Puricelli L, et al. Cathepsin B levels in urine from bladder cancer patients. *Oncol Rep*. 2000;7(6):1395-9. PMID: 11032951. Excluded for wrong intervention.

Eijsten A, Knonagel H, Hotz E, et al. Reduced bladder capacity in patients receiving intravesical chemoprophylaxis with mitomycin C. *Br J Urol*. 1990;66(4):386-8. PMID: 2121309. Excluded for wrong comparator.

El-Monim HA, El-Baradie MM, Younis A, et al. A prospective randomized trial for postoperative vs. preoperative adjuvant radiotherapy for muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Urol*. 2013;31(3):359-65. PMID: 21353794. Excluded for wrong comparator.

El-Shazli S, Anwar H, Ramzy S, et al. Extended lymphadenectomy to the lower paraaortic nodes during radical cystectomy. *J Egypt Natl Canc Inst*. 2004;16(1):22-8. PMID: 15716994. Excluded for wrong outcome.

Ennis RD, Petrylak DP, Singh P, et al. The effect of cystectomy, and perioperative methotrexate, vinblastine, doxorubicin and cisplatin chemotherapy on the risk and pattern of relapse in patients with muscle invasive bladder cancer. *J Urol*. 2000;163(5):1413-8. PMID: 10751847. Excluded for wrong population.

Faba OR, Palou J, Urdaneta G, et al. Invasive bladder cancer in the eighties: transurethral resection or cystectomy? *Int Braz J Urol*. 2011;37(1):49-55;discussion - 6. PMID: 21385480. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Fahmy NM, Mahmud S, Aprikian AG. Delay in the surgical treatment of bladder cancer and survival: systematic review of the literature. *Eur Urol*. 2006;50(6):1176-82. PMID: 16846680. Excluded for wrong outcome.

Fairey AS, Daneshmand S, Quinn D, et al. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy with gemcitabine/cisplatin vs. methotrexate/vinblastine/doxorubicin/cisplatin for muscle-invasive urothelial carcinoma of the bladder: a retrospective analysis from the University of Southern California. *Urol Oncol*. 2013;31(8):1737-43. PMID: 23141776. Excluded for wrong study design for Key Question.

Fechner G, Siener R, Reimann M, et al. Randomised phase II trial of gemcitabine and paclitaxel second-line chemotherapy in patients with transitional cell carcinoma (AUO Trial AB 20/99). *Int J Clin Pract*. 2006;60(1):27-31. PMID: 16409425. Excluded for wrong intervention.

Fedeli U, Fedewa SA, Ward EM. Treatment of muscle invasive bladder cancer: evidence from the National Cancer Database, 2003 to 2007. *J Urol*. 2011;185(1):72-8. PMID: 21074192. Excluded for wrong outcome.

Fiorito C, Gontero P. [Adverse events after intravesical therapy: are we always ready to recognize and prevent them?]. *Urologia*. 2013;80 Suppl 21:27-32. PMID: 23559132. Excluded for not English language, but possibly relevant.

Fossa SD, Aass N, Ous S, et al. Survival after curative treatment of muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Acta Oncol*. 1996;35 Suppl 8:59-65. PMID: 9073049. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Froehner M, Brausi MA, Herr HW, et al. Complications following radical cystectomy for bladder cancer in the elderly. *Eur Urol*. 2009;56(3):443-54. PMID: 19481861. Excluded for not a study.

Gakis G, Efstathiou J, Lerner SP, et al. ICUD-EAU International Consultation on Bladder Cancer 2012: Radical cystectomy and bladder preservation for muscle-invasive urothelial carcinoma of the bladder. *Eur Urol*. 2013;63(1):45-57. PMID: 22917985. Excluded for not a study.

## Appendix D. Excluded Studies

Garcia-Baquero R, Puerta P, Beltran M, et al. Methylation of a novel panel of tumor suppressor genes in urine moves forward noninvasive diagnosis and prognosis of bladder cancer: a 2-center prospective study. *J Urol*. 2013;190(2):723-30. PMID: 23485510. Excluded for wrong intervention.

Gondo T, Yoshioka K, Nakagami Y, et al. Robotic versus open radical cystectomy: prospective comparison of perioperative and pathologic outcomes in Japan. *Jpn J Clin Oncol*. 2012;42(7):625-31. PMID: 22581913. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Gore JL, Litwin MS, Lai J, et al. Use of radical cystectomy for patients with invasive bladder cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2010;102(11):802-11. PMID: 20400716. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Gray PJ, Fedewa SA, Shipley WU, et al. Use of potentially curative therapies for muscle-invasive bladder cancer in the United States: results from the National Cancer Data Base. *Eur Urol*. 2013;63(5):823-9. PMID: 23200811. Excluded for wrong outcome.

Gruschwitz T, Grimm MO. Studieearly recognition in urology. *Früherkennung in der urologie*. 2012;18(3):243-7. PMID: No PMID. Excluded for not English language, but possibly relevant.

Hall R. Neo-adjuvant CMV chemotherapy and cystectomy of radiotherapy in muscle invasive bladder cancer. First analysis of MRC/EORTC intercontinental trial. *Proc Ann Meet Am Soc Clin Oncol*. 1996;15:A612. PMID: No PMID. Excluded for not a study.

Haresh KP, Julka PK, Sharma DN, et al. A prospective study evaluating surgery and chemo radiation in muscle invasive bladder cancer. *J Cancer Res Ther*. 2007;3(2):81-5. PMID: 17998728. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Harland SJ. Electromotive drug delivery and bladder cancer. [Erratum appears in *Lancet Oncol*. 2006 Feb;7(2):105]. *Lancet Oncol*. 2006;7(1):6-7. PMID: 16389175. Excluded for wrong intervention.

Hashine K, Miura N, Numata K, et al. Health-related quality of life after bladder preservation therapy for muscle invasive bladder cancer. *Int J Urol*. 2008;15(5):403-6. PMID: 18384578. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Henningssohn L, Wijkstrom H, Dickman PW, et al. Distressful symptoms after radical radiotherapy for urinary bladder cancer. *Radiother Oncol*. 2002;62(2):215-25. PMID: 11937249. Excluded for wrong outcome.

Henningssohn L, Wijkstrom H, Steven K, et al. Relative importance of sources of symptom-induced distress in urinary bladder cancer survivors. *Eur Urol*. 2003;43(6):651-62. PMID: 12767367. Excluded for wrong population.

Henriksson R, Franzen L, Littbrand B. Prevention of irradiation-induced bowel discomfort by sucralfate: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study when treating localized pelvic cancer. *Am J Med*. 1991;91(2A):151S-7S. PMID: 1882903. Excluded for wrong intervention.

Henriksson R, Franzen L, Littbrand B. Effects of sucralfate on acute and late bowel discomfort following radiotherapy of pelvic cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 1992;10(6):969-75. PMID: 1588377. Excluded for wrong intervention.

Herr HW, Bochner BH, Dalbagni G, et al. Impact of the number of lymph nodes retrieved on outcome in patients with muscle invasive bladder cancer. *J Urol*. 2002;167(3):1295-8. PMID: 11832716. Excluded for wrong study design for Key Question.

Herr HW, Dotan Z, Donat SM, et al. Defining optimal therapy for muscle invasive bladder cancer. *J Urol*. 2007;177(2):437-43. PMID: 17222605. Excluded for not a study.

Hirabayashi N. [Long-term results of short-course preoperative radiotherapy and radical cystectomy for bladder cancer]. *Nippon Hinyokika Gakkai Zasshi*. 1997;88(5):528-34. PMID: 9184445. Excluded for not English language, but possibly relevant.

Holzbeierlein JM, Lopez-Corona E, Bochner BH, et al. Partial cystectomy: a contemporary review of the Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center experience and recommendations for patient selection. *J Urol*. 2004;172(3):878-81. PMID: 15310988. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Honma I, Masumori N, Sato E, et al. Removal of more lymph nodes may provide better outcome, as well as more accurate pathologic findings, in patients with bladder cancer--analysis of role of pelvic lymph node dissection. *Urology*. 2006;68(3):543-8. PMID: 16979723. Excluded for wrong study design for Key Question.

Horstmann M. Bladder cancer: Screening in risk groups or symptom-based urological diagnosis? *Blasenkrebs: Screening in Risikogruppen oder symptombasierte urologische Diagnostik?* 2011;18(1):20-2. PMID: No PMID. Excluded for not English language, but possibly relevant.

Horwich A. Commentary 1. Radiotherapy 1999:236-8. PMID: No PMID. Excluded for not a study.

Housset M, Maulard C, Chretien Y, et al. Combined radiation and chemotherapy for invasive transitional-cell carcinoma of the bladder: a prospective study. *J Clin Oncol*. 1993;11(11):2150-7. PMID: 8229129. Excluded for wrong comparator.

## Appendix D. Excluded Studies

Hsieh C-H, Chung S-D, Chan P-H, et al. Intensity modulated radiotherapy for elderly bladder cancer patients. *Radiat.* 2011;6:75. PMID: 21679408. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Huang GJ, Stein JP. Open radical cystectomy with lymphadenectomy remains the treatment of choice for invasive bladder cancer. *Curr Opin Urol.* 2007;17(5):369-75. PMID: 17762633. Excluded for not a study.

Huddart RA, Hall E, Hussain SA, et al. Randomized noninferiority trial of reduced high-dose volume versus standard volume radiation therapy for muscle-invasive bladder cancer: results of the BC2001 trial (CRUK/01/004). *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2013;87(2):261-9. PMID: 23958147. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Huncharek M, Muscat J, Geschwind JF. Planned preoperative radiation therapy in muscle invasive bladder cancer; results of a meta-analysis. *Anticancer Res.* 1998;18(3B):1931-4. PMID: 9677446. Excluded for systematic review or meta-analysis used as source document only to identify individual studies.

Institute of Applied Health S. Systematic review of the clinical and cost-effectiveness, and economic evaluation, of photodynamic diagnosis and novel urine biomarker tests in the detection and follow-up of bladder cancer (Project record). *Health Technology Assessment Database.* 2013(4). PMID: No PMID. Excluded for not a study.

Iwai A, Koga F, Fujii Y, et al. Perioperative complications of radical cystectomy after induction chemoradiotherapy in bladder-sparing protocol against muscle-invasive bladder cancer: a single institutional retrospective comparative study with primary radical cystectomy. *Jpn J Clin Oncol.* 2011;41(12):1373-9. PMID: 21994208. Excluded for wrong intervention.

Izawa JI, Chin JL, Winquist E. Timing cystectomy and perioperative chemotherapy in the treatment of muscle invasive bladder cancer. *Can J Urol.* 2006;13 Suppl 3:48-53. PMID: 16818012. Excluded for not a study.

James ND, Hussain SA, Hall E, et al. Radiotherapy with or without chemotherapy in muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;366(16):1477-88. PMID: 22512481. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Jensen JB, Ulhoi BP, Jensen KM. Lymph node mapping in patients with bladder cancer undergoing radical cystectomy and lymph node dissection to the level of the inferior mesenteric artery. *BJU Int.* 2010;106(2):199-205. PMID: 20002670. Excluded for wrong outcome.

Joung JY, Han KS, Kim TS, et al. Single institutional experience of bladder-preserving trimodality treatment for muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *J Korean Med Sci.* 2008;23(4):598-603. PMID: 18756044. Excluded for wrong study design for Key Question.

Kageyama Y, Yokoyama M, Sakai Y, et al. Favorable outcome of preoperative low dose chemoradiotherapy against muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Am J Clin Oncol.* 2003;26(5):504-7. PMID: 14528080. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Karl A, Carroll PR, Gschwend JE, et al. The impact of lymphadenectomy and lymph node metastasis on the outcomes of radical cystectomy for bladder cancer. *Eur Urol.* 2009;55(4):826-35. PMID: 19150582. Excluded for not a study.

Kaufman DS, Shipley WU, Griffin PP, et al. Selective bladder preservation by combination treatment of invasive bladder cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 1993;329(19):1377-82. PMID: 8413433. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Kawamura N, Matsushita M, Okada T, et al. [Relative efficacy of neoadjuvant gemcitabine and cisplatin versus methotrexate, vinblastine, adriamycin, and cisplatin in the management for muscle-invasive bladder cancer]. *Hinyokika Kiyo.* 2013;59(5):277-81. PMID: 23719134. Excluded for not English language, but possibly relevant.

Kinjo M, Okegawa T, Horie S, et al. Detection of circulating MUC7-positive cells by reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction in bladder cancer patients. *Int J Urol.* 2004;11(1):38-43. PMID: 14678183. Excluded for wrong intervention.

Kitagawa Y, Izumi K, Miwa S, et al. Retrospective analysis of the efficacy of two cycles of M-VAC neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by radical cystectomy for muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Anticancer Res.* 2013;33(10):4497-503. PMID: 24123021. Excluded for wrong study design for Key Question.

Koga F, Kihara K, Fujii Y, et al. Favourable outcomes of patients with clinical stage T3N0M0 bladder cancer treated with induction low-dose chemo-radiotherapy plus partial or radical cystectomy vs immediate radical cystectomy: a single-institutional retrospective comparative study. *BJU Int.* 2009;104(2):189-94. PMID: 19154452. Excluded for wrong study design for Key Question.

Kolaczyn W, Dembowski J, Lorenz J, et al. Evaluation of the influence of systemic neoadjuvant chemotherapy on the survival of patients treated for invasive bladder cancer. *BJU Int.* 2002;89(6):616-9. PMID: 11942977. Excluded for wrong study design for Key Question.

Konety BR, Joyce GF, F. W. Bladder and upper tract urothelial cancer. Vol 07-5512. Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office; 2007. PMID: 17437776. Excluded for wrong outcome.

Kotb AF, Kovac E, Kassouf W, et al. Radical cystectomy for clinically muscle invasive bladder cancer: does prior non-invasive disease affect clinical outcomes? *World J Urol.* 2012;30(6):761-7. PMID: 22293934. Excluded for wrong intervention.



## Appendix D. Excluded Studies

Kozak KR, Hamidi M, Manning M, et al. Bladder preservation for localized muscle-invasive bladder cancer: the survival impact of local utilization rates of definitive radiotherapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2012;83(2):e197-204. PMID: 22401916. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Krause FS, Feil G, Zumbragel A, et al. Molecular genetic methods in the diagnosis of invasive bladder cancer. *Anticancer Res*. 2000;20(6D):5015-21. PMID: 11326660. Excluded for wrong intervention.

Krogsbøll LT. Guidelines for screening with urinary dipsticks differ substantially - A systematic review. *Danish Medical Journal*. 2014;61(2). PMID: 24495888. Excluded for wrong population.

Kuten A, Baz M, Rubinov R, et al. Treatment of muscle invasive bladder cancer. Is there a role for neoadjuvant chemotherapy? *Strahlenther Onkol*. 1994;170(9):524-30. PMID: 7524174. Excluded for wrong study design for Key Question.

Larré S, Catto JWF, Cookson MS, et al. Screening for bladder cancer: Rationale, limitations, whom to target, and perspectives. *Eur Urol*. 2013;63(6):1049-58. PMID: 23313034. Excluded for wrong population.

Lee CT, Dunn RL, Ingold C, et al. Early-stage bladder cancer surveillance does not improve survival if high-risk patients are permitted to progress to muscle invasion. *Urology*. 2007;69(6):1068-72. PMID: 17572188. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Lehmann J, Retz M, Wiemers C, et al. Adjuvant cisplatin plus methotrexate versus methotrexate, vinblastine, epirubicin, and cisplatin in locally advanced bladder cancer: results of a randomized, multicenter, phase III trial (AUO-AB 05/95). *J Clin Oncol*. 2005;23(22):4963-74. PMID: 15939920. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Leibovici D, Kassouf W, Pisters LL, et al. Organ preservation for muscle-invasive bladder cancer by transurethral resection. *Urology*. 2007;70(3):473-6. PMID: 17905099. Excluded for sample size too small.

Leissner J, Ghoneim MA, Abol-Enein H, et al. Extended radical lymphadenectomy in patients with urothelial bladder cancer: results of a prospective multicenter study. *J Urol*. 2004;171(1):139-44. PMID: 14665862. Excluded for wrong outcome.

Leliveld AM, Doornweerd BHJ, Bastiaannet E, et al. Treatment and outcome in muscle invasive bladder cancer: a population-based survey. *World J Urol*. 2010;28(4):439-44. PMID: 20383640. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Lerner SP, Skinner DG, Lieskovsky G, et al. The rationale for en bloc pelvic lymph node dissection for bladder cancer patients with nodal metastases: long-term results. *J Urol*. 1993;149(4):758-64; discussion 64-5. PMID: 8455238. Excluded for wrong population.

Li Y-J, Zheng B-Z, Zhou Z-L. [Association of uPA and uPAR expression with invasive behaviors of urinary transitional cell carcinoma]. *Ai Zheng*. 2004;23(6):704-6. PMID: 15191676. Excluded for not English language, but possibly relevant.

Liedberg F, Anderson H, Mansson W. Treatment delay and prognosis in invasive bladder cancer. *J Urol*. 2005;174(5):1777-81; discussion 81. PMID: 16217282. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Liew MS, Azad A, Tafreshi A, et al. USANZ: Time-trends in use and impact on outcomes of perioperative chemotherapy in patients treated with radical cystectomy for urothelial bladder cancer. *BJU Int*. 2013;112 Suppl 2:74-82. PMID: 24127680. Excluded for sample size too small.

Lin C-C, Hsu C-H, Cheng JC, et al. Induction cisplatin and fluorouracil-based chemotherapy followed by concurrent chemoradiation for muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2009;75(2):442-8. PMID: 19307067. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Lin YL, Sun G, Liu XQ, et al. Clinical significance of CDH13 promoter methylation in serum samples from patients with bladder transitional cell carcinoma. *J Int Med Res*. 2011;39(1):179-86. PMID: 21672320. Excluded for wrong intervention.

Lin Y-L, Liu X-Q, Li W-P, et al. Promoter methylation of H-cadherin is a potential biomarker in patients with bladder transitional cell carcinoma. *Int Urol Nephrol*. 2012;44(1):111-7. PMID: 21516472. Excluded for wrong intervention.

Loo RK, Lieberman SF, Slezak JM, et al. Stratifying risk of urinary tract malignant tumors in patients with asymptomatic microscopic hematuria. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2013;88(2):129-38. PMID: 23312369. Excluded for wrong population.

Lorusso V. A phase II randomized trial of paclitaxel, gemcitabine and cisplatin versus gemcitabine - cisplatin in advanced transitional cell carcinoma of the urinary tract (TCC). A cooperative study of the IBCG (Italian Bladder Cancer Group). *Genito-urinary tumours*. 2002;93-4. PMID: No PMID. Excluded for not a study.

## Appendix D. Excluded Studies

Mameghan H, Fisher RJ, Watt WH, et al. The management of invasive transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder. Results of definitive and preoperative radiation therapy in 390 patients treated at the Prince of Wales Hospital, Sydney, Australia. *Cancer*. 1992;69(11):2771-8. PMID: 1571908. Excluded for wrong study design for Key Question.

Managadze GL, Khvadagiani GG, Tsintsadze OV, et al. [Extended lymphadenectomy in radical cystectomy: margins, scope, methodology]. *Urologia*. 2006(3):31-6. PMID: 16889087. Excluded for not English language, but possibly relevant.

Manoharan M, Katkoori D, Kishore TA, et al. Outcome after radical cystectomy in patients with clinical T2 bladder cancer in whom neoadjuvant chemotherapy has failed. *BJU Int*. 2009;104(11):1646-9. PMID: 19466944. Excluded for wrong comparator.

March Villalba JA, Martinez Jabaloyas JM, Pastor Hernandez F, et al. [Radical cystectomy as a muscle-invasive bladder cancer treatment in elderly patients]. *Actas Urol Esp*. 2008;32(7):696-704. PMID: 18788485. Excluded for not English language, but possibly relevant.

Martinez-Pineiro JA, Gonzalez Martin M, Arocena F, et al. Neoadjuvant cisplatin chemotherapy before radical cystectomy in invasive transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder: a prospective randomized phase III study. *J Urol*. 1995;153(3 Pt 2):964-73. PMID: 7853584. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Matsui Y, Nishiyama H, Watanabe J, et al. The current status of perioperative chemotherapy for invasive bladder cancer: a multiinstitutional retrospective study in Japan. *Int J Clin Oncol*. 2005;10(2):133-8. PMID: 15864700. Excluded for wrong study design for Key Question.

May M, Fritsche HM, Gilfrich C, et al. [Influence of older age on survival after radical cystectomy due to urothelial carcinoma of the bladder: survival analysis of a German multi-centre study after curative treatment of urothelial carcinoma of the bladder]. *Urologe A*. 2011;50(7):821-9. PMID: 21340593. Excluded for not English language, but possibly relevant.

May M, Herrmann E, Bolenz C, et al. Association between the number of dissected lymph nodes during pelvic lymphadenectomy and cancer-specific survival in patients with lymph node-negative urothelial carcinoma of the bladder undergoing radical cystectomy. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2011;18(7):2018-25. PMID: 21246405. Excluded for wrong study design for Key Question.

May M, Herrmann E, Bolenz C, et al. Lymph node density affects cancer-specific survival in patients with lymph node-positive urothelial bladder cancer following radical cystectomy. *Eur Urol*. 2011;59(5):712-8. PMID: 21296488. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Meeks JJ, Bellmunt J, Bochner BH, et al. A systematic review of neoadjuvant and adjuvant chemotherapy for muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Eur Urol*. 2012;62(3):523-33. PMID: 22677572. Excluded for systematic review or meta-analysis used as source document only to identify individual studies.

Mian C, Lodde M, Comploj E, et al. The value of the ImmunoCyt/uCyt+ test in the detection and follow-up of carcinoma in situ of the urinary bladder. *Anticancer Res*. 2005;25(5):3641-4. PMID: 16101194. Excluded for wrong population.

Mian C, Lodde M, Haitel A, et al. Comparison of the monoclonal UBC-ELISA test and the NMP22 ELISA test for the detection of urothelial cell carcinoma of the bladder. *Urology*. 2000;55(2):223-6. PMID: 10688083. Excluded for wrong population.

Mills RD, Fleischmann A, Studer UE. Radical cystectomy with an extended pelvic lymphadenectomy: rationale and results. *Surg Oncol Clin N Am*. 2007;16(1):233-45. PMID: 17336246. Excluded for not a study.

Milonas D, Mickevicius J, Mickevicius R, et al. [Treatment of superficial transitional cell bladder carcinoma. Long-term results of trial comparing transurethral resection alone and adjuvant chemotherapy with Doxorubicin]. *Medicina (Kaunas)*. 2002;38 Suppl 1:79-83. PMID: 12556642. Excluded for not English language, but possibly relevant.

Mitin T, Hunt D, Shipley WU, et al. Transurethral surgery and twice-daily radiation plus paclitaxel-cisplatin or fluorouracil-cisplatin with selective bladder preservation and adjuvant chemotherapy for patients with muscle invasive bladder cancer (RTOG 0233): a randomised multicentre phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2013;14(9):863-72. PMID: 23823157. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Monica B, Larosa M, Frattini A, et al. [Neoadjuvant chemotherapy in advanced-stage bladder carcinoma. A randomized prospective study comparing MVAC and MVEEC]. *Arch Ital Urol Androl*. 1994;66(5):235-43. PMID: 7812302. Excluded for not English language, but possibly relevant.

Monzo Gardiner JJ, Herranz Amo F, Cabello Benavente R, et al. [Response to adjuvant chemotherapy after radical cystectomy in patients with infiltrative bladder: Analysis of 397 cases]. *Arch Esp Urol*. 2009;62(4):275-82. PMID: 19717877. Excluded for wrong study design for Key Question.

Morales A, Nickel JC, Wilson JW. Dose-response of bacillus Calmette-Guerin in the treatment of superficial bladder cancer. *J Urol*. 1992;147(5):1256-8. PMID: 1569662. Excluded for wrong population.

## Appendix D. Excluded Studies

Mori Y, Arima M, Shimada K, et al. [Treatment of 300 patients with bladder cancer]. *Hinyokika Kiyo*. 1991;37(10):1235-41. PMID: 1755417. Excluded for not English language, but possibly relevant.

Moskovitz B, Halachmi S, Moskovitz M, et al. 10-year single-center experience of combined intravesical chemohyperthermia for nonmuscle invasive bladder cancer. *Fut Oncol*. 2012;8(8):1041-9. PMID: 22894675. Excluded for wrong population.

Moskovitz B, Meyer G, Kravtsov A, et al. Thermo-chemotherapy for intermediate or high-risk recurrent superficial bladder cancer patients. *Ann Oncol*. 2005;16(4):585-9. PMID: 15734775. Excluded for wrong population.

Moussa O, Abol-Enein H, Bissada NK, et al. Evaluation of survivin reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction for noninvasive detection of bladder cancer. *J Urol*. 2006;175(6):2312-6. PMID: 16697865. Excluded for wrong population.

Moyano Calvo JL, Romero Diaz JA, Ortiz Gamiz A, et al. [Randomized prospective study comparing BCG and BCG plus oral tegafur in the prevention of stage T1 superficial tumors of the bladder. Results after 2.5 years]. *Actas Urol Esp*. 1999;23(10):843-7. PMID: 10670125. Excluded for not English language, but possibly relevant.

Moyer VA. Screening for bladder cancer: U.S. preventive services task force recommendation statement. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 2011;155(4):246-51. PMID: 21844550. Excluded for wrong population.

Mulders PF, Meyden AP, Doesburg WH, et al. Prognostic factors in pTa-pT1 superficial bladder tumours treated with intravesical instillations. The Dutch South-Eastern Urological Collaborative Group. *Br J Urol*. 1994;73(4):403-8. PMID: 8199828. Excluded for wrong population.

Natale RB. Randomized Phase III Trial of Neoadjuvant MVAC + Cystectomy Versus Cystectomy Alone in Patients with Locally Advanced Bladder Cancer. Paper presented at: Plenary Presentation, Mon 1:00 pm - 3:30 pm 2011; U Colorado, Denver, CO. Excluded for not a study.

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Laparoscopic cystectomy (of the urinary bladder) (Structured abstract). *Health Technology Assessment Database*. 2013(4). PMID: No PMID. Excluded for not a study.

Nazeri N. Incidental sonographic detection of non-muscle-invasive papillary urothelial carcinoma in a low-risk patient. *Journal of Diagnostic Medical Sonography*. 2014;30(1):34-8. PMID: No PMID. Excluded for wrong study design for Key Question.

Neulander EZ, Kaneti J. Long-term outcomes of a randomized controlled trial comparing thermochemotherapy with mitomycin-C alone as adjuvant treatment for non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer (NMIBC). *BJU Int*. 2011;107(10):1685; author reply -6. PMID: 21518420. Excluded for not a study.

NHSC. NMP22 BladderChek proteomic assay for the detection of bladder cancer - horizon scanning technology note (Structured abstract). *Health Technology Assessment Database*. 2013(4). PMID: No PMID. Excluded for not a study.

Niedersuss-Beke D. [Systemic therapy of muscle invasive bladder cancer - an update]. *Wien Med Wochenschr*. 2011;161(15-16):371-3. PMID: 21953426. Excluded for not English language, but possibly relevant.

Nilsson S, Ragnhammar P, Glimelius B, et al. A systematic overview of chemotherapy effects in urothelial bladder cancer. *Acta Oncol*. 2001;40(2-3):371-90. PMID: 11441942. Excluded for systematic review or meta-analysis used as source document only to identify individual studies.

Nomi M, Gohji K, Okamoto M, et al. Results of transurethral resection plus adjuvant intravesical chemotherapy for superficial bladder cancer. *Int J Urol*. 1998;5(6):534-9. PMID: 9855120. Excluded for wrong population.

O'Connor RC, Alsikafi NF, Steinberg GD. Therapeutic options and treatment of muscle invasive bladder cancer. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther*. 2001;1(4):511-22. PMID: 12113083. Excluded for not a study.

Oeda T, Manabe D. [The usefulness of urinary FDP in the diagnosis of bladder cancer: comparison with NMP22, BTA and cytology]. *Nippon Hinyokika Gakkai Zasshi*. 2001;92(1):1-5. PMID: 11235137. Excluded for not English language, but possibly relevant.

Ogawa H, Nishimura T, Hori N, et al. 10-year survival rate in 92 patients with invasive bladder carcinoma treated by bladder sparing methods. *Nippon Ika Daigaku Zasshi*. 1997;64(5):446-54. PMID: 9366149. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Okegawa T, Kinjo M, Horie S, et al. Detection of mucin 7 gene expression in exfoliated cells in urine from patients with bladder tumor. *Urology*. 2003;62(1):182-6. PMID: 12837463. Excluded for wrong population.

Oliva E, Pinheiro NF, Heney NM, et al. Immunohistochemistry as an adjunct in the differential diagnosis of radiation-induced atypia versus urothelial carcinoma in situ of the bladder: a study of 45 cases. *Hum Pathol*. 2013;44(5):860-6. PMID: 23199526. Excluded for wrong population.

## Appendix D. Excluded Studies

Ollesch J, Drees SL, Heise HM, et al. FTIR spectroscopy of biofluids revisited: an automated approach to spectral biomarker identification. *Analyst*. 2013;138(14):4092-102. PMID: 23712384. Excluded for wrong population.

Ondrus D, Hornak M, Bardos A, et al. Systemic chemotherapy for muscle invasive bladder cancer. *Neoplasma*. 1994;41(5):263-8. PMID: 7854496. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Onishi T, Sasaki T, Hoshina A, et al. Continuous saline bladder irrigation after transurethral resection is a prophylactic treatment choice for non-muscle invasive bladder tumor. *Anticancer Res*. 2011;31(4):1471-4. PMID: 21508405. Excluded for wrong population.

Orsola A, Cecchini L, Raventos CX, et al. Risk factors for positive findings in patients with high-grade T1 bladder cancer treated with transurethral resection of bladder tumour (TUR) and bacille Calmette-Guerin therapy and the decision for a repeat TUR. *BJU Int*. 2010;105(2):202-7. PMID: 19558557. Excluded for wrong population.

Panteliadou M, Touloupidis S, Giatromanolaki A, et al. Concurrent administration of liposomal doxorubicin improves the survival of patients with invasive bladder cancer undergoing hypofractionated accelerated radiotherapy (HypoARC). *Med Oncol*. 2011;28(4):1356-62. PMID: 20424934. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Parkinson JP, Konety BR. Health related quality of life assessments for patients with bladder cancer. *J Urol*. 2004;172(6 Pt 1):2130-6. PMID: 15538218. Excluded for systematic review or meta-analysis used as source document only to identify individual studies.

Perdona S, Autorino R, Damiano R, et al. Bladder-sparing, combined-modality approach for muscle-invasive bladder cancer: a multi-institutional, long-term experience. *Cancer*. 2008;112(1):75-83. PMID: 18008364. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Peyromaure M, Slama J, Beuzeboc P, et al. Concurrent chemoradiotherapy for clinical stage T2 bladder cancer: report of a single institution. *Urology*. 2004;63(1):73-7. PMID: 14751352. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Philip J, Manikandan R, Venugopal S, et al. Orthotopic neobladder versus ileal conduit urinary diversion after cystectomy--a quality-of-life based comparison. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl*. 2009;91(7):565-9. PMID: 19558757. Excluded for wrong outcome.

Porpiglia F, Renard J, Billia M, et al. Open versus laparoscopy-assisted radical cystectomy: results of a prospective study. *J Endourol*. 2007;21(3):325-9. PMID: 17444780. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Raj GV, Karavadia S, Schlomer B, et al. Contemporary use of perioperative cisplatin-based chemotherapy in patients with muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Cancer*. 2011;117(2):276-82. PMID: 20830767. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Resorlu B, Turkolmez K, Ergun G, et al. The role of adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with locally advanced (pT3, pT4a) and/or lymph node-positive bladder cancer. *Int Urol Nephrol*. 2010;42(4):959-64. PMID: 20405206. Excluded for wrong study design for Key Question.

Rodel C, Grabenbauer GG, Kuhn R, et al. Combined-modality treatment and selective organ preservation in invasive bladder cancer: long-term results. *J Clin Oncol*. 2002;20(14):3061-71. PMID: 12118019. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Rosenblatt R, Sherif A, Rintala E, et al. Pathologic downstaging is a surrogate marker for efficacy and increased survival following neoadjuvant chemotherapy and radical cystectomy for muscle-invasive urothelial bladder cancer. *Eur Urol*. 2012;61(6):1229-38. PMID: 22189383. Excluded for systematic review or meta-analysis used as source document only to identify individual studies.

Ruggeri EM, Giannarelli D, Bria E, et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy in muscle-invasive bladder carcinoma: a pooled analysis from phase III studies. *Cancer*. 2006;106(4):783-8. PMID: 16419069. Excluded for systematic review or meta-analysis used as source document only to identify individual studies.

Ruoppolo M, Bellorofonte C, Gritti A, et al. [Neoadjuvant chemotherapy of locally advanced tumors of the bladder: preliminary experience]. *Arch Ital Urol Nefrol Androl*. 1990;62(2):243-8. PMID: 2142808. Excluded for not English language, but possibly relevant.

Sagaster P, Flamm J, Flamm M, et al. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (MVAC) in locally invasive bladder cancer. *Eur J Cancer*. 1996;32A(8):1320-4. PMID: 8869093. Excluded for wrong study design for Key Question.

Sangar VK, McBain CA, Lyons J, et al. Phase I study of conformal radiotherapy with concurrent gemcitabine in locally advanced bladder cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2005;61(2):420-5. PMID: 15667962. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Sapre N, Anderson P, Foroudi F. Management of local recurrences in the irradiated bladder: a systematic review. *BJU Int*. 2012;110 Suppl 4:51-7. PMID: 23194126. Excluded for not a study.

Sarkis AS, Bajorin DF, Reuter VE, et al. Prognostic value of p53 nuclear overexpression in patients with invasive bladder cancer treated with neoadjuvant MVAC. *J Clin Oncol*. 1995;13(6):1384-90. PMID: 7751883. Excluded for wrong intervention.

## Appendix D. Excluded Studies

Sauer R, Birkenhake S, Kuhn R, et al. Efficacy of radiochemotherapy with platin derivatives compared to radiotherapy alone in organ-sparing treatment of bladder cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 1998;40(1):121-7. PMID: 9422567. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Scattoni V, Da Pozzo L, Nava L, et al. Five-year results of neoadjuvant cisplatin, methotrexate and vinblastine chemotherapy plus radical cystectomy in locally advanced bladder cancer. *Eur Urol.* 1995;28(2):102-7. PMID: 8529731. Excluded for wrong study design for Key Question.

Schrag D, Mitra N, Xu F, et al. Cystectomy for muscle-invasive bladder cancer: patterns and outcomes of care in the Medicare population. *Urology.* 2005;65(6):1118-25. PMID: 15922428. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Scrimger RA, Murtha AD, Parliament MB, et al. Muscle-invasive transitional cell carcinoma of the urinary bladder: a population-based study of patterns of care and prognostic factors. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2001;51(1):23-30. PMID: 11516847. Excluded for wrong study design for Key Question.

See WA, Dreicer R, Forest PK, et al. Continuous infusion, intravesical doxorubicin for the treatment of regionally advanced bladder cancer: a phase I-II trial. *Am J Clin Oncol.* 1997;20(4):331-7. PMID: 9256884. Excluded for wrong study design for Key Question.

Segal R, Winquist E, Lukka H, et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy for deep muscle-invasive transitional cell bladder carcinoma - a practice guideline. *Can J Urol.* 2002;9(5):1625-33. PMID: 12431323. Excluded for systematic review or meta-analysis used as source document only to identify individual studies.

Segati R, Bari M, Azzarello G, et al. Carboplatin monochemotherapy in elderly patients with nonoperable transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder: a two-stage, phase II study. *Eur Urol.* 1996;29(3):312-6; discussion 7. PMID: 8740038. Excluded for wrong population.

Sengelov L, von der Maase H, Lundbeck F, et al. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy with cisplatin and methotrexate in patients with muscle-invasive bladder tumours. *Acta Oncol.* 2002;41(5):447-56. PMID: 12442921. Excluded for wrong intervention.

Serretta V, Lo Greco G, Pavone C, et al. The fate of patients with locally advanced bladder cancer treated conservatively with neoadjuvant chemotherapy, extensive transurethral resection and radiotherapy: 10-year experience. *J Urol.* 1998;159(4):1187-91. PMID: 9507829. Excluded for wrong study design for Key Question.

Sharp VJ, Barnes KT, Erickson BA. Assessment of asymptomatic microscopic hematuria in adults. *Am Fam Physician.* 2013;88(11):747-54. PMID: 24364522. Excluded for not a study.

Shelley M, Barber J, Wilt TJ, et al. Surgery versus radiotherapy for muscle invasive bladder cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2012(4). PMID: No PMID. Excluded for systematic review or meta-analysis used as source document only to identify individual studies.

Shelley M, Cleves A, Wilt TJ, et al. Gemcitabine for unresectable, locally advanced or metastatic bladder cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2011(4). PMID: 21491413. Excluded for systematic review or meta-analysis used as source document only to identify individual studies.

Shelley MD, Barber J, Wilt T, et al. Surgery versus radiotherapy for muscle invasive bladder cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2002(1):CD002079. PMID: 11869621. Excluded for systematic review or meta-analysis used as source document only to identify individual studies.

Shelley MD, Jones G, Cleves A, et al. Intravesical gemcitabine therapy for non-muscle invasive bladder cancer (NMIBC): a systematic review. *BJU Int.* 2012;109(4):496-505. PMID: 22313502. Excluded for systematic review or meta-analysis used as source document only to identify individual studies.

Shelley MD, Wilt TJ, Barber J, et al. A meta-analysis of randomised trials suggests a survival benefit for combined radiotherapy and radical cystectomy compared with radical radiotherapy for invasive bladder cancer: are these data relevant to modern practice? *Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol).* 2004;16(3):166-71. PMID: 15191002. Excluded for systematic review or meta-analysis used as source document only to identify individual studies.

Sherif A, Holmberg L, Rintala E, et al. Neoadjuvant cisplatin based combination chemotherapy in patients with invasive bladder cancer: a combined analysis of two Nordic studies. *Eur Urol.* 2004;45(3):297-303. PMID: 15036674. Excluded.

Shiba M, Takatera H, Fujii T, et al. [Intraarterial chemotherapy with bladder preservation in patients with invasive bladder carcinoma]. *Hinyokika Kiyo.* 2004;50(1):15-9. PMID: 15032009. Excluded for not English language, but possibly relevant.

Shipley WU, Winter KA, Kaufman DS, et al. Phase III trial of neoadjuvant chemotherapy in patients with invasive bladder cancer treated with selective bladder preservation by combined radiation therapy and chemotherapy: initial results of Radiation Therapy Oncology Group 89-03. *J Clin Oncol.* 1998;16(11):3576-83. PMID: 9817278. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Shipley WU, Zietman AL, Kaufman DS, et al. Invasive bladder cancer: treatment strategies using transurethral surgery, chemotherapy and radiation therapy with selection for bladder conservation. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 1997;39(4):937-43. PMID: 9369144. Excluded for not a study.

## Appendix D. Excluded Studies

Siefker-Radtke AO, Dinney CP, Shen Y, et al. A phase 2 clinical trial of sequential neoadjuvant chemotherapy with ifosfamide, doxorubicin, and gemcitabine followed by cisplatin, gemcitabine, and ifosfamide in locally advanced urothelial cancer: final results. *Cancer*. 2013;119(3):540-7. PMID: 22914978. Excluded for wrong intervention.

Skinner DG, Daniels JR, Russell CA, et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy following cystectomy benefits patients with deeply invasive bladder cancer. *Semin Urol*. 1990;8(4):279-84. PMID: 2284533. Excluded for wrong intervention.

Soga N, Arima K, Sugimura Y. Adjuvant methotrexate, vinblastine, adriamycin, and cisplatin chemotherapy has potential to prevent recurrence of bladder tumors after surgical removal of upper urinary tract transitional cell carcinoma. *Int J Urol*. 2008;15(9):800-3. PMID: 18651862. Excluded for wrong population.

Sonpavde G, Goldman BH, Speights VO, et al. Quality of pathologic response and surgery correlate with survival for patients with completely resected bladder cancer after neoadjuvant chemotherapy. *Cancer*. 2009;115(18):4104-9. PMID: 19517476. Excluded for wrong outcome.

Sonpavde G, Khan MM, Lerner SP, et al. Disease-free survival at 2 or 3 years correlates with 5-year overall survival of patients undergoing radical cystectomy for muscle invasive bladder cancer. *J Urol*. 2011;185(2):456-61. PMID: 21167527. Excluded for wrong study design for Key Question.

Soukup V, Babjuk M, Bellmunt J, et al. Follow-up after surgical treatment of bladder cancer: a critical analysis of the literature. *Eur Urol*. 2012;62(2):290-302. PMID: 22609313. Excluded for systematic review or meta-analysis used as source document only to identify individual studies.

Splinter TA, Pavone-Macaluso M, Jacqmin D, et al. A European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer--Genitourinary Group phase 2 study of chemotherapy in stage T3-4N0-XM0 transitional cell cancer of the bladder: evaluation of clinical response. *J Urol*. 1992;148(6):1793-6. PMID: 1433610. Excluded for wrong study design for Key Question.

Stadler WM, Lerner SP, Groshen S, et al. Phase III study of molecularly targeted adjuvant therapy in locally advanced urothelial cancer of the bladder based on p53 status. *J Clin Oncol*. 2011;29(25):3443-9. PMID: 21810677. Excluded for wrong intervention.

Startsev VI, Karelin MI. [Combined therapy for patients with invasive bladder cancer]. *Vopr Onkol*. 2003;49(2):235-8. PMID: 12785212. Excluded for not English language, but possibly relevant.

Startsev VY. The role of combined method in organ-sparing treatment of muscle-invasive bladder cancer recurrences. *Arch Ital Urol Androl*. 2002;74(2):54-6. PMID: 12161935. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Stein JP. The role of lymphadenectomy in patients undergoing radical cystectomy for bladder cancer. *Curr Oncol Rep*. 2007;9(3):213-21. PMID: 17430693. Excluded for not a study.

Stejskal D, Fiala RR. Evaluation of serum and urine clusterin as a potential tumor marker for urinary bladder cancer. *Neoplasma*. 2006;53(4):343-6. PMID: 16830064. Excluded for wrong population.

Stejskal D, Humenanska V, Hanulova Z, et al. Evaluation of urine N1,N12-Diacetylspermine as potential tumor marker for urinary bladder cancer. *Biomed Pap Med Fac Univ Palacky Olomouc Czech Repub*. 2006;150(2):235-7. PMID: 17426784. Excluded for wrong population.

Sternberg CN. Perioperative chemotherapy in muscle-invasive bladder cancer to enhance survival and/or as a strategy for bladder preservation. *Semin Oncol*. 2007;34(2):122-8. PMID: 17382795. Excluded for not a study.

Sternberg CN, Pansadoro V, Calabro F, et al. Neo-adjuvant chemotherapy and bladder preservation in locally advanced transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder. *Ann Oncol*. 1999;10(11):1301-5. PMID: 10631456. Excluded for wrong study design for Key Question.

Stewart AB, Mostafid H. Re: A single immediate postoperative instillation of chemotherapy decreases the risk of recurrence in patients with stage Ta T1 bladder cancer: a meta-analysis of published results of randomized clinical trials. *J Urol*. 2005;173(4):1433; author reply PMID: 15758827. Excluded for not a study.

Stockle M, Meyenburg W, Wellek S, et al. Adjuvant polychemotherapy of nonorgan-confined bladder cancer after radical cystectomy revisited: long-term results of a controlled prospective study and further clinical experience. *J Urol*. 1995;153(1):47-52. PMID: 7966789. Excluded for wrong population.

Stockle M, Wellek S, Meyenburg W, et al. Radical cystectomy with or without adjuvant polychemotherapy for non-organ-confined transitional cell carcinoma of the urinary bladder: prognostic impact of lymph node involvement. *Urology*. 1996;48(6):868-75. PMID: 8973669. Excluded for wrong population.

Studer UE, Bacchi M, Biedermann C, et al. Adjuvant cisplatin chemotherapy following cystectomy for bladder cancer: results of a prospective randomized trial. *J Urol*. 1994;152(1):81-4. PMID: 8201695. Excluded for wrong population.

## Appendix D. Excluded Studies

Sumiyoshi Y, Yano M, Yokota K, et al. [Intra-arterial infusion chemotherapy in bladder cancer]. *Gan To Kagaku Ryoho*. 1990;17(8 Pt 2):1697-700. PMID: 2389958. Excluded for not English language, but possibly relevant.

Sun X, Hu J, Yang Q. Combined modality therapy following bladder conservation surgery for bladder cancers. *Chin Med J*. 2002;115(10):1548-51. PMID: 12490108. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Svatek RS, Karam J, Karakiewicz PI, et al. Role of urinary cathepsin B and L in the detection of bladder urothelial cell carcinoma. *J Urol*. 2008;179(2):478-84; discussion 84. PMID: 18076936. Excluded for wrong population.

Sylvester R, Sternberg C. The role of adjuvant combination chemotherapy after cystectomy in locally advanced bladder cancer: what we do not know and why. *Ann Oncol*. 2000;11(7):851-6. PMID: 10997813. Excluded for systematic review or meta-analysis used as source document only to identify individual studies.

Tanaka T, Miyazawa K, Tsukamoto T, et al. Pathobiology and chemoprevention of bladder cancer. *Journal of Oncology*. 2011. PMID: 21941546. Excluded for not a study.

Tsuji H, Akaza H, Ohtani M, et al. Preliminary results of bladder-preserving therapy with definitive radiotherapy and intraarterial infusion of chemotherapy. *Strahlenther Onkol*. 1994;170(9):531-7. PMID: 7940124. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Tunio MA, Hashmi A, Qayyum A, et al. Whole-pelvis or bladder-only chemoradiation for lymph node-negative invasive bladder cancer: single-institution experience. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2012;82(3):e457-62. PMID: 21945107. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Tunio MA, Hashmi A, Rafi M, et al. Bladder preservation by neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by concurrent chemoradiation for muscle-invasive bladder cancer: experience at Sindh Institute of Urology & Transplantation (SIUT). *JPM J Pak Med Assoc*. 2011;61(1):6-10. PMID: 22368893. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Vale CL. Adjuvant chemotherapy for invasive bladder cancer (individual patient data). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2011(4). PMID: No PMID. Excluded for systematic review or meta-analysis used as source document only to identify individual studies.

van der Steen-Banasik E, Ploeg M, Witjes JA, et al. Brachytherapy versus cystectomy in solitary bladder cancer: a case control, multicentre, East-Netherlands study. *Radiother Oncol*. 2009;93(2):352-7. PMID: 19457565. Excluded for wrong population.

von der Masse H. Long-Term Survival Results of a Randomized Trial Comparing Gemcitabine Plus Cisplatin, With Methotrexate, Vinblastine, Doxorubicin, Plus Cisplatin in Patients with Bladder Cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2005;23(21):4602-8. PMID: 16034041. Excluded for wrong population.

Wallace DM, Raghavan D, Kelly KA, et al. Neo-adjuvant (pre-emptive) cisplatin therapy in invasive transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder. *Br J Urol*. 1991;67(6):608-15. PMID: 2070206. Excluded for wrong intervention.

Walz J, Shariat SF, Suardi N, et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy for bladder cancer does not alter cancer-specific survival after cystectomy in a matched case-control study. *BJU Int*. 2008;101(11):1356-61. PMID: 18454792. Excluded for wrong population.

Washino S, Hirai M, Terauchi F, et al. [Intra-arterial chemotherapy for bladder preservation in patients with locally advanced bladder cancer]. *Nippon Hinyokika Gakkai Zasshi*. 2009;100(3):486-94. PMID: 19348188. Excluded for not English language, but possibly relevant.

Winkquist E, Kirchner TS, Segal R, et al. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy for transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Urol*. 2004;171(2 Pt 1):561-9. PMID: 14713760. Excluded for systematic review or meta-analysis used as source document only to identify individual studies.

Woolcott CG, Kolonel LN. Regarding measures of impact: Diabetes and urothelial cancer. *Cancer Epidemiology*. 2012;36(6):581. PMID: No PMID. Excluded for not a study.

Wright JL, Lin DW, Porter MP. The association between extent of lymphadenectomy and survival among patients with lymph node metastases undergoing radical cystectomy. *Cancer*. 2008;112(11):2401-8. PMID: 18383515. Excluded for wrong population.

Yuh BE, Ruel N, Wilson TG, et al. Pooled analysis of clinical outcomes with neoadjuvant cisplatin and gemcitabine chemotherapy for muscle invasive bladder cancer. *J Urol*. 2013;189(5):1682-6. PMID: 23123547. Excluded for systematic review or meta-analysis used as source document only to identify individual studies.

Zapatero A, Martin de Vidales C, Arellano R, et al. Updated results of bladder-sparing trimodality approach for invasive bladder cancer. *Urol*. 2010;28(4):368-74. PMID: 19362865. Excluded for wrong comparator.

Zapatero A, Martin De Vidales C, Arellano R, et al. Long-term results of two prospective bladder-sparing trimodality approaches for invasive bladder cancer: neoadjuvant chemotherapy and concurrent radio-chemotherapy. *Urology*. 2012;80(5):1056-62. PMID: 22999456. Excluded for wrong comparator.

## Appendix E1. Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Country Study Design Risk of Bias	Setting and Study Years	Single- or Multi- Center	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Type of Intervention (experimental and control groups, dose, duration of treatment)	Duration of Followup
Bekelman, 2013 <sup>1</sup> Retrospective cohort Medium	US Population-based SEER-Medicare data 1995-2005	Multi, population- based data	1995-2005 Stages T2 and T3 urothelial cell carcinoma Medicare FFS only, no HMO	Unstaged, combination Radical cystectomy with EBRT or chemotherapy, use of nonplatinum- based chemotherapy with EBRT, chemotherapy alone, EBRT alone, non concurrent chemoradiation. Also excluded deaths within 3 months of diagnosis	A: TURBT, EBRT, and concurrent platinum-based chemotherapy  B: Radical cystectomy with or without lymphadenectomy	NR
Holmang, 1997 <sup>2</sup> Retrospective cohort High	Sweden Population-based Swedish cancer registry data 1987-1988	Multi	1987-1988 Stage T2 or greater Included patients diagnosed at autopsy	Metastatic disease at presentation	A: EBRT with 3-field box, 60 Gy or more  B: Radical TURBT alone  C: Radical cystectomy, some of whom received preoperative radiotherapy, 2 of whom received preoperative chemotherapy, no routine lymphadenectomy	≥ 5 years
Kalogeras, 2008 <sup>3</sup> Retrospective cohort High	Greece Single institution 1995-2006	Single	1995-2006 Stage T2N0M0	None noted	A: EBRT with box configuration, 64 Gy, no reported of percent that underwent cystectomy  B: Radical cystectomy, no perioperative radiotherapy, no note of lymphadenectomy	A: mean 38 months (range 5-125 mos) B: mean 37 months (range 8-89 mos)



## Appendix E1. Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Country Study Design Risk of Bias	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (screened, eligible, enrolled, total and per group analyzed)	Population Characteristics by Treatment Group (age, race, sex, stage of disease, functional status)	Results
Bekelman, 2013 <sup>1</sup> Retrospective cohort Medium	Screened: 54,402 Eligible: 6,486 Enrolled: 1,843 Total Analyzed: 1,843 Per Group Analyzed: A: 417; B: 1,426	Age: A: mean 79.3 ± 6.0 years; B: mean 75.4 ± 6.2 years Sex: A: 300/417 male; B: 892/1426 male Stage: NR Functional Status: NR	Unadjusted 5-year survival, A vs. B, log-rank test p-value: Overall: 27.9% vs. 46.5%, p<0.001 Disease-specific: 52.2% vs. 64.5%, p<0.001  Unadjusted Cox models: HR overall mortality A vs. B 1.54, 95% CI 1.33-1.77 Propensity-score adjusted model with propensity score derived from demographic and hospital characteristics not further specified: HR for overall mortality, A vs. B, 1.26, 95% CI 1.05-1.53 IVA with area cystectomy rate as instrument, HR for overall mortality, A vs. B: 1.06, 95% CI 0.78-1.31
Holmang, 1997 <sup>2</sup> Retrospective cohort High	Screened: NR Eligible: NR Enrolled: NR Total Analyzed: 148 Per Group Analyzed: A: 42; B: 70; C: 36	Age: NR Sex: NR Stage: 79% vs. 63% vs. 83% T2 or T3, 21% vs. 37% vs. 17% T4a Functional Status: NR	Survival at study endpoint (~ 5 years after diagnosis), A vs. B vs. C, log-rank test p-value: Overall: T2/T3, A: 17/30 deaths within 5 years, B: 38/44 deaths within 5 years, C: 28/33 deaths within 5 years; T4a, A: 6/6 dead from bladder cancer within 5-26 months, B: all dead C: 9/9 dead from bladder cancer
Kalogeras, 2008 <sup>3</sup> Retrospective cohort High	Screened: NR Eligible: NR Enrolled: NR Total Analyzed: 145 Per Group Analyzed: A: 119; B: 26	Age: A: < 70, 39 pts; > 70, 80 pts B: < 70, 10 pts; > 70, 16 pts Sex: NR Stage: all T2 Functional Status: NR	3-year survival, A vs. B, log-rank test p-value: Overall: 39% vs. 69%, p=0.032 Disease-specific: NR Local recurrences: A vs. B Local "disease control" reported as 42% for A, 88% for B

## Appendix E1. Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Country Study Design Risk of Bias	Adverse Events and Withdrawals due to Adverse Events	Adjustment for Confounding	Sponsor	Comments
Bekelman, 2013 <sup>1</sup> Retrospective cohort Medium	Withdrawals due to AE: NR Death during post-operative period: excluded Death within 1st year: NR	Propensity scores and IVA		Compared to other observational studies, rigorous definition of bladder- preserving therapy
Holmang, 1997 <sup>2</sup> Retrospective cohort High	2 cystectomy perioperative deaths 3 EBRT peri-procedure deaths	None	Western Sweden Oncology Centre and Medical Society of Goteborg	
Kalogeras, 2008 <sup>3</sup> Retrospective cohort High	Withdrawals due to AE: 0 Death during post-operative period: 0 Grade 3 toxicities in A: 8/119 diarrhea, 8/119 leukopenia, 3/119 anemia Postoperative complications in B: 46% (most of which were SSIs)	None	None	No adjustment of case-mix differences between study groups

## Appendix E1. Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Country Study Design Risk of Bias	Setting and Study Years	Single- or Multi- Center	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Type of Intervention (experimental and control groups, dose, duration of treatment)	Duration of Followup
Kotwal, 2008 <sup>4</sup> Retrospective cohort High	UK Single institution 1996-2000	Single	1996-2000 (sub analysis on 2002-2005) Stages Tis, T1, T2, T3 or T4a urothelial cell carcinoma, complete clinical information available	None reported. Excluded patients found to undergo cystectomy for benign indications	A: Radical radiotherapy with 50-55 Gy in 20 fractions  B: Radical cystectomy, including lymphadenectomy in 52/72 patients	Not reported. Did include 5- year survival estimates
Nieuwenhuijzen 2005 <sup>5</sup> Retrospective cohort Medium	Netherlands Single institution 1988-2003	Single	1988-2003 Stages T1high grade and T2 urothelial cell carcinoma < 5 cm	Previous EBRT, size of tumor not described For Group A, multiple tumors	A: EBRT with 30 Gy in 15 fractions followed by brachytherapy through suprapubic cystotomy, combined with partial cystectomy in 24 patients  B: Radical cystectomy with lymphadenectomy	Not reported, included 5-year and 10-year survival estimates

## Appendix E1. Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Country Study Design Risk of Bias	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (screened, eligible, enrolled, total and per group analyzed)	Population Characteristics by Treatment Group (age, race, sex, stage of disease, functional status)	Results
Kotwal, 2008 <sup>4</sup> Retrospective cohort High	Screened: NR Eligible: NR Enrolled: NR Total Analyzed: 169 Per Group Analyzed: A: 97; B: 72	Age (median): 75 years (range: 42-99) vs. 68 years (range: 37-85 years) Male: 75% vs. 65% Stage: 9% vs. 19% Tis or T1, 38% vs. 31% T2, 49% vs. 43% T3 or T4a, 3% vs. 7% unknown Functional Status: NR	5-year survival, A vs. B, log-rank test p-value: Overall: 34.6% vs. 41.3%, p=0.39 Disease-specific: 56.8% vs. 53.4%, p=0.376  8-year survival, A vs. B, log-rank test p-value: Overall: 17.8% vs. 36.4%, p NR Disease-specific: NR  Local recurrences: A vs. B 31/97 vs. 27/72 regional or distant recurrences  Need for cystectomy NR, commented on 31 local failures and 9 cystectomy patients
Nieuwenhuijzen 2005 <sup>5</sup> Retrospective cohort Medium	Screened: NR Eligible: NR Enrolled: NR Total Analyzed: 185 Per Group Analyzed: A: 108; B: 77	Age: A: Median: 63 years, range 31-88; B: Median: 63 years, range 36-84 Sex: A: 89/108 male; B: 62/77 male Stage: A: T1: 17/108, T2: 91/108 B: T1: 28/77, T2: 49/77 Functional Status: NR  Discrepancy in reporting of tumor sizes, A vs. B: < 3 cm: A 77/108, B 12/77 3-5 cm: A 26/108, B 11/77 Unknown: A 5/108, B 54/77	5-year survival, A vs. B, log-rank test p-value: Overall: 62% vs. 67%, p=0.67 Disease-specific: 73% vs. 72%, p=0.28  10-year survival, A vs. B, log-rank test p-value: Overall: 50% vs. 58%, p=0.67 (only p recorded likely from log-rank) Disease-specific: 67% vs. 72%, p=0.28 (only p recorded likely from log-rank)  Local recurrences: A 23/108 with bladder recurrences  MV model: Cox proportional hazards model adjusted for age, T stage, grade, no. of tumors Overall: HR 1.6 (0.7-3.6) favoring group B Disease-specific: HR 2.0 (0.8-5.1) favoring group B

## Appendix E1. Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Country Study Design Risk of Bias	Adverse Events and Withdrawals due to Adverse Events	Adjustment for Confounding	Sponsor	Comments
Kotwal, 2008 <sup>4</sup> Retrospective cohort High	Withdrawals due to AE: NR Death during post-operative period: 4 Death within 1st year: 21.6% vs. 34.7%, p NR	Cox proportional hazards methods adjusting for tumor stage, grade, hydronephrosis, age, sex, and treatment	None	
Nieuwenhuijzen 2005 <sup>5</sup> Retrospective cohort Medium	Withdrawals due to AE: 0 Death during post-operative period: 0 Death within 1st year: NR	Cox proportional hazards methods Adjusting for T-category (T1 vs. T2), grade of differentiation (G2 vs. G3 vs. Gx), N- stage (N0 vs. Nx), age (linear) and tumor multiplicity (solitary vs. multiple).		

## Appendix E1. Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Country Study Design Risk of Bias	Setting and Study Years	Single- or Multi- Center	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Type of Intervention (experimental and control groups, dose, duration of treatment)	Duration of Followup
Rincon Mayans, 2010 <sup>6</sup> Retrospective cohort High	Spain Single institution 1994-2007	Single	1994-2007 Stage T2-4N0M0	None noted	A: EBRT with two regimens: 1997-2003 patients received Taxol®-methotrexate-5- fluorouracil-cisplatin, 45-65 Gy concurrent with 5-fluorouracil-cisplatin, and 2 subsequent cycles of chemotherapy; from 2003-2007, patients received Taxol®-gemcitabine-cisplatin, IMRT 55- 65 Gy  B: Radical cystectomy, no perioperative radiotherapy, no note of lymphadenectomy or other surgical details	A: mean follow- up 51 months, median follow- up 39 months B: mean follow- up 29 months, median follow- up 18 months
Sell, 1991 <sup>7</sup> Randomized controlled trial High	Denmark Multicenter 1983-1986	Multi	1983-1986 Stages T2, T3, T4a	Age > 70 years Previous EBRT Other malignancies	A: Radical EBRT with 60 Gray  B: Preoperative ERBT with 40 Gray followed by radical cystectomy, including lymphadenectomy in 40/61 patients	Median follow- up 50 months, not further stratified
Solsona, 2009 <sup>8</sup> Nonrandomized clinical trial Medium	Spain Multicenter 1980-1990	Multi	1989-2005 MIBC Positive biopsy 3 months after radical TURBT	Lymph node involvement, hydronephrosis, residual tumor after TURBT	A: Bladder-sparing chemotherapy with CMV, MVAC, or GC  B: Radical cystectomy with lymphadenectomy	Partially reported, reported 84 months among those with a cR to chemotherapy

## Appendix E1. Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Country Study Design Risk of Bias	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (screened, eligible, enrolled, total and per group analyzed)	Population Characteristics by Treatment Group (age, race, sex, stage of disease, functional status)	Results
Rincon Mayans, 2010 <sup>6</sup> Retrospective cohort High	Screened: NR Eligible: NR Enrolled: NR Total Analyzed: 188 Per Group Analyzed: A: 43; B: 145	Age: NR Sex: NR Stage: A: T1/T2 20 patients, T3/T4 23 patients B: NR Functional Status: NR	3-year progression-free survival, A vs. B, log-rank test p-value: 69±7% vs. 72±5%, p=0.83 5-year progression-free survival, A vs. B, log-rank test p-value: 61±7% vs. 63±7%. p=0.83  Complete response in A in 31 patients (72%)
Sell, 1991 <sup>7</sup> Randomized controlled trial High	Screened: NR Eligible: NR Enrolled: NR Total Analyzed: 183 Per Group Analyzed ITT: A: 95; B: 88 Per Group Analyzed Actual: A: 88; B: 66	Age: A: Mean: 61.3 years, B: Mean: 61.3 years Sex: A: 80 vs. 82% Stage: 37% vs. 42% T2, 63 vs. 58% T3 or T4 Functional Status: NR	Median Survival (months), A vs. B, log-rank test p-value: Overall ITT: 20 vs. 18, Overall Actual: p=0.08 trend favoring Group A  Survival of salvage cystx patients did not differ from Group B  Local recurrence, A vs. B: 6.8% vs. 35.8% Distant recurrence, A vs. B: 34% vs. 31.5%
Solsona, 2009 <sup>8</sup> Nonrandomized clinical trial Medium	Screened: NR Eligible: NR Enrolled: 146 Total Analyzed: 146 Per Group Analyzed: A: 75; B: 71	Age: A: median 62 years; B: median 64 years Sex: A: 68/75 male; B: 62/71 male Stage: NR Functional Status: NR	5-year survival, A vs. B, log-rank test p-value: Disease-specific: 64.5% vs. NR, p=NS but NR  Need for cystectomy in 54/75 Group A patients

## Appendix E1. Key Question 1: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Country Study Design Risk of Bias	Adverse Events and Withdrawals due to Adverse Events	Adjustment for Confounding	Sponsor	Comments
Rincon Mayans, 2010 <sup>6</sup> Retrospective cohort High	Withdrawals due to AE: NR Death during post-operative period: NR Toxicities in A: NR Postoperative complications in B: NR	None	None	No adjustment of case-mix differences between study groups
Sell, 1991 <sup>7</sup> Randomized controlled trial High	Withdrawals due to AE: NR Death during post-operative period: 0 Death within 1st year: NR  Moderate or greater GI side effects, A vs. B: 19/95 vs. 22/88 Contracted bladder in 9/61 Group A patients		Danish Cancer Society	Antiquated clinical regimen
Solsona, 2009 <sup>8</sup> Nonrandomized clinical trial Medium	Withdrawals due to AE: NR Death during post-operative period: NR Death within 1st year: NR  Table 5 reports Group A chemo-related toxicity including Grade $\geq 3$ leucopenia in 32%, neutropenia in 66%, anemia in 13%, thrombocytopenia in 25%	Cox proportional hazards methods adjusting for age, sex, presence of bladder Tis, antecedents, size, clinical response, and chemotherapy modality		

AE=adverse event; CI=confidence interval; CMV=cisplatin, methotrexate, vinblastine ; cR=clinical response; EBRT=external beam radiation therapy; FFS=fee-for-service; G=gemcitabine plus cisplatin; GI=gastrointestinal; Gy=gray; HMO=Health Maintenance Organization; HR=hazard ratio; IMRT=Intensity Modulated Radiation Therapy; ITT=intention-to-treat analysis; IVA=instrumental variable analysis; M0=metastasis stage 0; MIBC=muscle invasive bladder cancer; MVAC=Methotrexate, Vinblastine, Doxorubicin, Cisplatin; N0=node stage 0; NR=not reported; NS=not significant; Nx=nodes not removed or unknown; RCT=randomized controlled trial; SEER=Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results; T1=Tumor stage 1; T2=Tumor stage 2; T3=Tumor stage 3; T4=Tumor stage 4; T4a=Tumor stage 4a; Tis=carcinoma in situ; TURBT=transurethral resection of bladder tumor; UK=United Kingdom



## Appendix E2. Key Question 2: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Setting and Study Years	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Type of Intervention (experimental and control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Brossner, 2004 <sup>9</sup> Retrospective Cohort High	Austria and Italy Two centers 1998-2002	Patients undergoing radical cystectomy, American Society of Anesthesiologists grade 2 or 3	NR	<p>A: (Italian Cohort): Cystoprostatectomy in men or pelvectomy in women, with "extended" lymphadenectomy, including the perivesical, hypogastric, obturator, external iliac, common iliac and aortal lymph nodes, into the region of the inferior mesenteric artery.</p> <p>B: (Australian cohort): Cystoprostatectomy in men or pelvectomy in women, with "minimal" lymphadenectomy, including perivesical lymph nodes and lymphatic tissue of the obturator fossa, confined laterally by the external iliac vein and medial by the Obturator nerve.</p>

## Appendix E2. Key Question 2: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Duration of Followup and Followup Method	Number of Subjects Per Group	Population Characteristics by Treatment Group (age, sex, race, smoking status, recurrent bladder cancer, stage of disease, tumor grade, functional status)	Results
Brossner, 2004 <sup>9</sup> Retrospective Cohort High	30 days Unclear method of follow-up	A: 46 B: 46	Age (mean): 66.3 vs. 68.2 years Male: Not reported Race: Not reported Smoker: Not reported Recurrent bladder cancer: Not reported Stage: pT1: 4 vs. 6; pT2-3a: 24 vs. 18; pT3b-4: 18 vs. 22; Node positive: 18 vs. 10 Grade: Not reported Functional Status: Not reported	Median operative duration (minutes): 330 vs. 227

## Appendix E2. Key Question 2: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Adverse Events and Withdrawals due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Comments
Brossner, 2004 <sup>9</sup> Retrospective Cohort High	<p>Median ICU stay (days): 4.5 vs. 5.1, P-value NR</p> <p>Median hospital stay (days): 16.3 vs. 14.2, P-value NR</p> <p>Median blood units received during surgery: 0.8 vs. 1.15, P=0.37</p> <p>Median blood units received within 30 days: 0.7 vs. 3.2, P=0.067</p> <p>Complications within 30 days:</p> <p>Overall surgical complications: 20/46 vs. 17/46, P=0.08</p> <p>Perioperative mortality: 4.3% (2/46) (pneumonia) vs. 2.2% (1/46) (pulmonary embolus), RR 0.50 (95% CI 0.047 to 5.32)</p> <p>Complications requiring surgery: 5/46 vs. 4/46, P=0.28</p> <p>Cardiac arrhythmia: 5/46 vs. 3/46, P=0.16</p> <p>Pulmonary embolus: 1/46 vs. 2/46</p> <p>Pneumonia: 2/46 vs. 7/46, P=0.02</p> <p>Prolonged ileus &gt;6 days: 1/46 vs. 2/46</p> <p>Hydronephrosis: 3/46 vs. 6/46</p> <p>Pyelonephritis: 4/46 vs. 4/46</p> <p>Acute renal failure: 1/46 vs. 0/46</p> <p>Transient cerebrovascular accident: 3/46 vs. 1/46</p>	NR	

## Appendix E2. Key Question 2: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Setting and Study Years	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Type of Intervention (experimental and control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Dhar, 2008 <sup>10</sup> Retrospective Cohort High	USA and Switzerland Two centers 1987-2000	TCC of bladder (preoperative stage N0M0) who underwent curative intent radical cystectomy	Neoadjuvant treatment, positive pathological margins, stages pTa, pT1, and pT4 cancer	<p>A (Switzerland cohort): Cystectomy with extended lymphadenectomy, with cephalad dissection extended to the crossing of the ureters with the common iliac arteries and removal of all tissue along the lateral and medial portion of internal iliac vessels.</p> <p>A (USA cohort): Cystectomy with limited lymphadenectomy, with boundaries of the pelvic sidewall between the genitofemoral and obturator nerves, and bifurcation of the iliac vessels to the circumflex iliac vein.</p>

## Appendix E2. Key Question 2: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Duration of Followup and Followup Method	Number of Subjects Per Group	Population Characteristics by Treatment Group (age, sex, race, smoking status, recurrent bladder cancer, stage of disease, tumor grade, functional status)	Results
Dhar, 2008 <sup>10</sup> Retrospective Cohort High	5 years  A: Every 6 months for 2 years and annually thereafter.  B: 3 and 6 months after surgery, 6-month intervals until 5 years and annually thereafter.	A: 322 B: 336	Age (median): 66.9 vs. 61.6 years, p<0.001 Male: 78% vs. 79% Race: NR Smoking status: NR Recurrent bladder cancer: NR Stage: NR Tumor grade: NR Functional status: NR	A vs. B <u>Lymph Nodes</u> Number of nodes examined, median (range): 12 (2-31) vs. 22 (10-43) Number of positive nodes, median (range): 1 (1-5) vs. 2 (1-26) Lymph node positive rate: overall, 13% vs. 26%; pT2, 15/200 vs. 24/150; pT3, 29/136 vs. 59/172  <u>5 year recurrence-free survival</u> (median followup: 25 vs. 40, p<0.001) pT2: 71% vs. 63%, p=0.10 pT3: 19% vs. 49%, p<0.0001  <u>5 year overall survival</u> (median followup: 36 vs. 51, p<0.001) pT2: 64% vs. 61%, p=0.10 pT3: 22% vs. 42%, p=0.0002  <u>Progression:</u> local or systemic: 55% (184/336) vs. 40% (130/322) RR 0.74 (95% CI 0.63 to 0.87) Local progression (p for log-rank test):: pT2: 24% vs. 44%, p<0.0001 pT3: 60% vs. 10%, p<0.0001 Systemic progression (includes those with both local and systemic progression): pT2: 14% vs. 27%, p=0.0048 pT3: 20% vs. 45%, p=0.0012

Appendix E2. Key Question 2: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Adverse Events and Withdrawals due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Comments
Dhar, 2008 <sup>10</sup> Retrospective Cohort High	NR	NR	N's in table do not correspond to percentages reported in the paper. Percentages are presented here for RFS and OS. Should we do the same for progression? I am unclear as to what denominator was used when calculating p-values.

## Appendix E2. Key Question 2: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Setting and Study Years	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Type of Intervention (experimental and control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Konety, 2003 <sup>11</sup> Retrospective cohort Medium	USA Population based study (SEER data) 1988-1996	primary bladder cancer; subset with radical cystectomy with or without lymph node dissection	NR	Patients with bladder cancer who underwent cystectomy, number of lymph nodes examined: 0 (n=645), 1-3 (n=203), 4-6 (n=239), 7-9 (n=164), 10-14 (n=163), 15-19 (n=106), ≥20 (n=81), missing data.
Leissner, 2000 <sup>12</sup> Retrospective cohort	Germany 1986-1997	Radical cystectomy with curative intent for pTis, pT1G3, pT2 to pT4 transitional cell carcinoma	previous pelvic lymphadenectomy or irradiation, preoperative chemotherapy for bladder cancer, pTa bladder cancer	Patients with bladder cancer who underwent cystectomy, number of lymph nodes examined: 1-5, 6-10, 11-15, 16-20, and >20

## Appendix E2. Key Question 2: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Duration of Followup and Followup Method	Number of Subjects Per Group	Population Characteristics by Treatment Group (age, sex, race, smoking status, recurrent bladder cancer, stage of disease, tumor grade, functional status)	Results
Konety, 2003 <sup>11</sup> Retrospective cohort Medium	Minimum 2 years; Median in surviving post-cystectomy patients: 63.5 months	Cystectomy subset: N=1923 0 lymph nodes, n=645 ≥1 lymph node, n=956 unknown lymph nodes, n=322	Age: <35: 70 (3.6%); 35-44: 86 (4.5%); 45-54: 237 (12.3%); 55-64: 476 (24.8%); 65-74: 681 (35.4%); 75-84: 349 (18.2%); ≥85: 24 (1.3%) Male: 1265/1923 (65.8%) Race: White: 1698/1923 (93.6%); Black: 117/1923 (6.5%) Smoking Status: NR Recurrent bladder cancer: NR Stage: In situ or 1: 150 (12.9%); Stage 2: 249 (21.4%); Stage 3: 300 (25.8%); Stage 4: 465 (39.9%); missing: 759 Tumor grade: NR Functional status: NR	Risk of death by number of lymph nodes examined; Adjusted hazard ratio (95%CI); p-value: 0: 1 (reference) 1-3: 0.93 (0.69 to 1.27); 4-6: 0.52 (0.36 to 0.76); 7-9: 0.57 (0.39 to 0.81); 10-14: 0.38 (0.25 to 0.57); 15-19: 0.57 (0.39 to 0.85); ≥20: 0.48 (0.30 to 0.76); ≥4: 0.53 (0.36 to 0.76)
Leissner, 2000 <sup>12</sup> Retrospective cohort	Minimum 2 years; Mean: 38.7 months	Per group: NR, Overall: 302	Age: 62.8 years Male: male: female ratio 4.5:1 Race: NR Smoking status: NR Recurrent bladder cancer: NR Stage of disease (for all patients with radical cystectomy): pTis: 15 (3.4%); pT1: 100 (22.4%); pT2a: 88 (19.7%); pT2b: 51 (11.4%); pT3: 146 (32.7%); pT4: 47 (10.5%) Tumor grade: NR Functional status: NR	≥16 nodes removed vs. ≤15 nodes removed : 5-year bladder cancer- specific survival: 65% vs. 51%, p<0.013 Local recurrence: 17% vs. 27%, p<0.01 Distant metastasis: 10.5% vs. 17%, p<0.01



Appendix E2. Key Question 2: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Adverse Events and Withdrawals due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Comments
Konety, 2003 <sup>11</sup> Retrospective cohort Medium	NR	NR	
Leissner, 2000 <sup>12</sup> Retrospective cohort	Inverse relationship between number of complications associated with the lymphadenectomy and the number of lymph nodes removed, data NR	NR	

## Appendix E2. Key Question 2: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Setting and Study Years	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Type of Intervention (experimental and control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Poulsen, 1998 <sup>13</sup> Retrospective cohort High	Denmark Single study 1990-1997	Radical cystectomy with lymphadenectomy	Pretreatment of bladder cancer	A: Radical cystectomy with extended lymphadenectomy, bounded proximally by bifurcation of the aorta, laterally by the genitofemoral nerve, distally by the circumflex iliac vein and Cloquet's lymph node and posteriorly by the internal iliac vessel, including the presacral nodes and obturator fossa  B: Cystectomy with limited lymphadenectomy, bounded proximally by bifurcation of the common iliac vessels, while the lateral, distal and posterior boundaries were the same as for the extended dissection, including dissection of the obturator fossa.
Shirotake, 2010 <sup>14</sup> Retrospective cohort Medium	Japan Single center 1987-2008	Refractory non-muscle-invasive or muscle-invasive bladder cancer	Noncurative surgery, tumors of nonurothelial origin, unclear medical history	A: Cystectomy with lymphadenectomy B: Cystectomy without lymphadenectomy  Neoadjuvant chemotherapy, n=16, mostly T3-4 Adjuvant chemotherapy, n=26, T3-4 or Node positive

## Appendix E2. Key Question 2: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Duration of Followup and Followup Method	Number of Subjects Per Group	Population Characteristics by Treatment Group (age, sex, race, smoking status, recurrent bladder cancer, stage of disease, tumor grade, functional)	Results
Poulsen, 1998 <sup>13</sup> Retrospective cohort High	4-month intervals for the first year, then annually.	A: n=126 B: n=68	Age, mean: 61.8 vs. 63.2 years Male: 102/126 vs. 55/68 Race: NR Smoking status: NR Recurrent bladder cancer: NR Stage: T0-Ta: 7.1% vs. 5.9%; Tis: 13.5% vs. 5.9%; T1: 12.7% vs. 25%; T2: 10.3% vs. 13.2%; T3a: 13.5% vs. 16.2%; T3b: 35.7% vs. 29.4%; T4a: 4.0% vs. 1.5%; T4b: 1.6% vs. 1.5%; prostate: 0.8% vs.	A vs. B: Median number of nodes removed: 25 (range 9-67) vs. 13 (range 6-30), p<0.0001 5-year recurrence-free survival: 62% vs. 56%, p=0.33 5-year risk of distant metastasis: 29% vs. 30%, p not reported 5-year risk of pelvic metastasis: 10% vs. 10%, p not reported  5-year recurrence-free survival: Stage ≤T3a: 85% vs. 64%, p<0.02; Stage ≥T3b: 27% vs. 39%, p=0.87  5-year survival: Stage ≤T3a,N0: 90% vs. 71%, p<0.02; Stage ≥T3b,N0: 38% vs. 67%, p=0.46
Shirotake, 2010 <sup>14</sup> Retrospective cohort Medium	3-month intervals for 2 years and every 6 months thereafter	A: 107 B: 62 (includes those without lymphadenectomy or unknown number of nodes removed)	Age, mean: 67.65 vs. 69.4 years Male: overall 127/169 Race: NR Smoking status: NR Recurrent bladder cancer: NR Stage: ≤T2: 52/107 vs. 34/62; T3-4: 55/107 vs. 28/62 Tumor grade: G1-2: 27/107 vs. 28/62; G3: 80/107 vs. 38/62 Functional status: NR	Node positive (N+) vs. Node negative (N-) vs. Nodes not removed or unknown (Nx) 5-year Cancer-specific survival: 40.8% vs. 72.3% vs. 73.5%; N+ vs. N-, p=0.0471, Nx vs. N-, p=0.846  ≥9 nodes removed vs. <9 nodes removed: 5-year Cancer-specific survival, node-positive and node negative patients: 84.3% vs. 52.7%, adjusted HR 3.48 (95%CI 1.50 to 9.31) Node negative patients: adjusted HR 6.94 (95% CI 1.88 to 38.21)

## Appendix E2. Key Question 2: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Adverse Events and Withdrawals due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Comments
Poulsen, 1998 <sup>13</sup> Retrospective cohort High	NR	Mauritzen La Fontaine Foundation	
Shirotake, 2010 <sup>14</sup> Retrospective cohort Medium	NR	NR, Authors disclosed no COI	

## Appendix E2. Key Question 2: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Setting and Study Years	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Type of Intervention (experimental and control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Simone, 2013 <sup>15</sup> Retrospective cohort Medium	Italy Two centers 2002-2010	high-grade urothelial carcinoma	neoadjuvant treatment, salvage cystectomy	A: Cystectomy with extended lymphadenectomy, dissected nodes up to and, in some cases, above the aortic bifurcation including the presacral nodes  B: Cystectomy with standard lymphadenectomy, dissected nodes with an upper boundary at the iliac bifurcation (not including presacral and common nodes)

## Appendix E2. Key Question 2: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Duration of Followup and Followup Method	Number of Subjects Per Group	Population Characteristics by Treatment Group (age, sex, race, smoking status, recurrent bladder cancer, stage of disease, tumor grade, functional status)	Results
Simone, 2013 <sup>15</sup> Retrospective cohort Medium	followup method, NR	A: 349 B: 584	Age, mean: 65.4 years vs. 66.9 years Male: 309/349 vs. 502/584 Race: NR Smoking status: NR Recurrent bladder cancer: NR Stage: T0, a, is, 1: 94/349 vs. 140/584; T2: 98/349 vs. 131/584; T3: 108/349 vs. 235/584; T4: 49/349 vs. 78/584 Tumor grade: NR Functional status: NR	Number of nodes removed, A vs. B, mean (SD): 32.7 (14.9) vs. 16.6 (11.8), p<0.001 Lymph node invasion found: 111/349 vs. 187/584, p=0.56 Bladder cancer specific survival: Adjusted HR 1.80 (95% CI 1.37 to 2.37)

Appendix E2. Key Question 2: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Adverse Events and Withdrawals due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Comments
Simone, 2013 <sup>15</sup> Retrospective cohort Medium	NR	NR, Authors disclosed no COI	No details on how patients were selected for the two procedures

## Appendix E2. Key Question 2: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Setting and Study Years	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Type of Intervention (experimental and control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Zehnder, 2011 <sup>16</sup> Retrospective cohort High	USA and Switzerland Two centers 1985-2005	Radical cystectomy with lymphadenectomy with curative intent for T2-3, clinically N0M0 bladder cancer	Neoadjuvant treatment, positive soft tissue margins, T1 or T4 bladder cancer	<p>A (USA cohort): Cystectomy with lymphadenectomy, pure intrapelvic template plus removal of lymphatic tissue along the common iliac vessels, the distal vena cava/aorta to the IMA takeoff and complete dissection of the presacral space from the bifurcation of the aorta into the sacral fossa.</p> <p>B (Switzerland cohort): Cystectomy with lymphadenectomy, pure intrapelvic template ended proximally at the mid-upper third of the common iliac vessels, included the presacral region medial to the internal iliac vessels but left tissue containing the hypogastric nerves located medial to the retracted ureters and inferior to the aortic bifurcation</p> <p>Both groups used pure intrapelvic template for lymphadenectomy, with boundaries of the genitofemoral nerve and the pelvic side wall laterally, the circumflex iliac vein and Cloquet's node distally, the obturator fossa with full exposure of the intrapelvic course of the obturator nerve and the internal iliac vessels posteriorly, and the tissue medial to these vessels.</p>



## Appendix E2. Key Question 2: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Duration of Followup and Followup Method	Number of Subjects Per Group	Population Characteristics by Treatment Group (age, sex, race, smoking status, recurrent bladder cancer, stage of disease, tumor grade, functional status)	Results
Zehnder, 2011 <sup>16</sup> Retrospective cohort High	A: 4-month intervals in year 1, 6-month intervals in year 2, annually thereafter; Median followup: 10.9 years  B: 3, 6, 12 months postoperatively, annually thereafter; Median followup: 9.9 years	A: 554 B: 405	Age, median: 67 vs. 67 years Male: 421/554 vs. 314/405 Race: NR Smoking status: NR Recurrent bladder cancer: NR Stage: T2: 253/554 vs. 169/554; T3: 301/554 vs. 236/405 Tumor grade: G3: 534/554 vs. 390/405 Functional status: NR	Pathologically Node-positive: 195/554 vs. 114/405 Recurrence: 38% (210/554) vs. 38% (154/405), RR 1.0 (95% CI 0.85 to 1.17) Recurrence-free survival: ~58% in each group (p=0.75) Overall survival: ~17% in each group (p=0.45)

## Appendix E2. Key Question 2: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Adverse Events and Withdrawals due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Comments
Zehnder, 2011 <sup>16</sup> Retrospective cohort High	NR	NR	

CI = confidence interval; COI = conflict of interest; G1 = Grade 1; G2 = Grade 2; G3 = Grade 3; ICU = intensive care unit; IMA = inferior mesenteric artery; M0 = Metastasis stage 0; N = Nodes; N- = Node positive; N+ = Node negative; N0 = Node stage 0; NR = Not reported; Nx = Nodes not removed or unknown; OS = overall survival; PICOTS = populations, interventions, comparators, outcomes, timing, study designs; pT1 = Tumor stage 1 determined by pathology; pT2 = Tumor stage 2 determined by pathology; pT3 = Tumor stage 3 determined by pathology; pT4 = Tumor stage 4 determined by pathology; pTa = Tumor stage a determined by pathology; RFS = recurrence-free survival; SD = standard deviation; SEER = Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results program; T0 = Tumor stage 0; T1 = Tumor stage 1; T2 = Tumor stage 2; T3 = Tumor stage 3; T3a = Tumor stage 3a; T3b = Tumor stage 3b; T4 = Tumor stage 4; T4a = Tumor stage 4a; T4b = Tumor stage 4b; Ta = Tumor stage a; TCC = transitional cell carcinoma; Tis = carcinoma in situ; USA = United States of America

## Appendix E3. Key Question 3: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Setting and Study Years	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Type of Intervention (experimental and control groups, dose, duration of treatment)	Duration of Followup and Followup Method
Bono, 1997 <sup>17</sup> Randomized controlled trial Medium	Italy Nine centers 1984-1987	T2-T4a, and histologically proven muscle-invasive transitional cell carcinoma of bladder, at least 3 cm in diameter without clinical evidence of positive lymph nodes or distant metastases. Creatinine < 1.6 mg/dL, Normal hepatic and respiratory function.	Other histological subtypes of tumor including squamous cell carcinoma; upper tract tumors; other cancers outside of bladder cancer; positive LNs or metastases; "important anemia", uncontrolled diabetes, severe cardiovascular disease, active uncontrolled infections. Early death or surgical complications precluding chemotherapy.	A: Radical cystectomy with LN dissection + adjuvant chemotherapy with cisplatin 70 mg/m <sup>2</sup> day 1, and methotrexate 40 mg/m <sup>2</sup> days 8 and 15 every 21 days for 4 cycles starting 21-28 days after surgery (n=35 for pN0 and n= 31 for pN+, total n=66)  B: Radical cystectomy with LN dissection (n=48)  **pN0 patients were randomized into the groups A or B; pN+ patients were assigned to group A**	Mean: 69.12 months. Method: Every 3 months for 2 years with blood work, chest X-ray, abdominal ultrasound, clinical exam. CT scan of abdomen and bone scan every 6 months for 2 years.

## Appendix E3. Key Question 3: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects	Population Characteristics by Treatment Group (age, sex, race, smoking status, recurrent bladder cancer, stage of disease, tumor grade, functional status)	Results
Bono, 1997 <sup>17</sup> Randomized controlled trial Medium	Screened: NR Randomized: 125 Post-randomization exclusions: 5 total Lost to follow-up: 2 (excluded from analysis) 4 excluded from analysis for "protocol violation" total 114/125 was analyzed.	Age (mean): 62 vs. 62, 60 in pN+ group Male: 104/114, # in each group NR Race: NR Smoker: NR Recurrent bladder cancer: NR Tumor stage: pT2N0: 20% (7/35) vs. 27% (13/48), pT2N+: 10% (3/31) pT3aN0: 43% (15/35) vs. 39% (18/48), pT3aN+: 32% (10/31) pT3b-4aN0: 37% (13/35) vs. 35% (17/48), pT3b-4aN+: 58% (18/31) Nodal status: pN+ 22% (31/114)	pN0 A vs. B Progression: 51% (18/35) vs. 56% (27/48) No progression: 49% (17/35) vs. 44% (21/48), RR 0.91 95% CI 0.61- 1.37 Survival: 49% (17/35) vs. 38% (18/48) Died of disease: 46% (16/35) vs. 52% (25/48), RR 0.88 95% CI 0.56- 1.38 Death, any cause: 51% (18/35) vs. 63% (30/48)  pN+ from group A Progression: 58% (18/31) No progression: 42% (13/31) Survival: 32% (10/31) Died of disease: 58% (18/31) Death, any cause: 68% (21/31)

## Appendix E3. Key Question 3: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Adverse Events and Withdrawals due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Comments
Bono, 1997 <sup>17</sup> Randomized controlled trial Medium	Chemotherapy toxicity grade 3 or greater: nausea/vomiting: 9/66 mucositis: 13/66 renal toxicity: 11/66 hematologic toxicity (not specified): 1/66 other (not specified): 1/66 Discontinuation of chemotherapy 10.6% (7/66)		chemotherapy discontinued prior to completion of 4 cycles in 4/31 in pN+ group and 3/35 in pN0 group.

## Appendix E3. Key Question 3: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Setting and Study Years	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Type of Intervention (experimental and control groups, dose, duration of treatment)	Duration of Followup and Followup Method
Cognetti, 2012 <sup>18</sup> Randomized controlled trial Medium	Italy 45 centers 2001-2007	pT2G3 (N0-2), pT3-4(N0-2) any G, pN1-2 any T or G Radical cystectomy with no residual tumor Minimum of 10 LNs dissected Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status 0-2 Age <= 75 "Adequate bone marrow reserve" "good renal (Cr <= 1.25 micromole/L, CrCl >= 60 mL/minute) and liver function"	Prior neoadjuvant chemotherapy or radiotherapy	A: Cystectomy +/- LN dissection + AC every 28 days for 4 cycles with gemcitabine 1000 mg/m2 days 1,8, and 15 plus cisplatin 70 mg/m2 on day 2 or day 15 (GC) (total n=97; cisplatin day 2 (A1), n=43, cisplatin day 15 (A2), n=46)  B: Cystectomy +/- LN dissection + treatment on relapse (n=86)	Median: 35 months Method: Every 3 months for 2 years, then every 6 months for 3 years, then yearly thereafter. CT scans every 6 months for 3 years then yearly thereafter.

## Appendix E3. Key Question 3: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects	Population Characteristics by Treatment Group (age, sex, race, smoking status, recurrent bladder cancer, stage of disease, tumor grade, functional status)	Results
Cognetti, 2012 <sup>18</sup> Randomized controlled trial Medium	Screened: NR Randomized: 194 (102 vs. 92) Post-randomization exclusions: NR Lost: 11 (5 vs. 6)  8/97 patients randomized to arm A (AC) refused initiation of chemotherapy (unsure whether A1 or A2)	Age (mean): 64 vs. 63 Male: 93% (90/97) vs. 87% (75/86 ) Race: NR Smoker: NR Recurrent bladder cancer: NR Stage of disease: pT1: 3% (3/97) vs. 1% (1/86) pT2: 30% (29/97) vs. 22% (19/86) pT3: 47% (46/97) vs. 57% (49/86) pT4: 9% (9/97) vs. 20% (17/86) Grade of tumor: G2: 3% (3/97) vs. 5% (4/86) G3: 93% (90/97) vs. 93% (80/86) Gx or missing: 4% (4/97) vs. 2% (17/86) LN status: pN0: 48% (47/97) vs. 57% (49/86) pN1: 21% (20/97) vs. 22% (19/86) pN2: 31% (30/97) vs. 21% (18/86) Functional status: ECOG PS 0: 81% (79/97) vs. 71% (61/86) ECOG PS 1-2: 17% (16/97) vs. 24% (21/86) ECOG PS missing: 2% (2/97) vs. 5% (4/86) Tumor type: TCC: 98% vs. 99%; other: 2% vs. 1%	A vs. B Overall recurrence: 44% (43/97) vs. 47% (40/86), RR 0.95 95% CI 0.69-1.31 5 year disease-free survival: 42% vs. 37%, p=0.70, HR 1.08, 95% CI 0.73-1.59 5-year disease free survival in node-negative patients: 58% vs. 60%, p=0.97 5 year disease free survival in node-positive patients: 19% vs. 19%, p=0.80 5 year overall survival: 43% vs. 54%, , p=0.24 5 year overall survival A1 vs. A2: 47% vs. 40%, p=0.88 5-year overall survival lymph node negative disease: 65% vs. 73%, p=0.65 5-year overall survival lymph node positive disease: 26% vs. 28% p=0.71 HR for mortality A vs. B: HR = 1.29, CI 0.84-1.99, p=0.24 Independent of treatment arm, mortality hazard was significantly associated with nodal status and T stage: pN1 vs. pN0: HR =2.42, CI 1.38-4.26 pN2 vs. pN0: HR =4.33, CI 2.6-7.2 pT3 vs. pT1-2 HR= 2.01, CI 1.14-3.56 pT4 vs. pT1-2 HR =2.57, CI 1.34-4.92

## Appendix E3. Key Question 3: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Adverse Events and Withdrawals due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Comments
Cognetti, 2012 <sup>18</sup> Randomized controlled trial Medium	<p>Toxic effect AC (all %/ grade 3/4 %) groups A1 vs. A2</p> <p>Leukopenia: 65%/9% vs. 66%/15%</p> <p>neutropenia: 68%/21% vs. 70%/35%</p> <p>anemia: 63%/5% vs. 55%/6%</p> <p>thrombocytopenia: 49%/26% vs. 45%/4% (p= 0.006 for grade 3/4 A1 vs. A2)</p> <p>Fever: 39% vs. 28%</p> <p>n/v: 48%/9% vs. 54% /2%</p> <p>cephalea 7% vs. 4%</p> <p>diarrhea: 19%/2% vs. 17%</p> <p>stomatitis/mucositis: 21% vs. 11%</p> <p>decrease in Creatinine clearance: 14%/2%vs. 9%</p> <p>proteinuria: 14% vs. 4%</p> <p>alopecia: 28% vs. 23%</p> <p>infection 21%/5% vs. 11%%</p> <p>asthenia: 65%/5% vs. 46%/2%</p> <p>Dose reduction/ early stop of therapy A1 vs. A2: 67%/39% vs. 72%/26%</p>	Italian Minister of Health	<p>Study underpowered</p> <p>Group B: 23/40 relapses received some kind of chemotherapy, 3/40 received surgery or RT, 5/40 supportive care, 9/40 missing data.</p> <p>Group A: 21/43 relapses received other chemotherapy, 5/43 surgery or RT, 11/43 supportive care, 6/43 missing data.</p> <p>Group A: 92% completed first cycle AC, 78% 2 cycles, 74% 3 cycles, 62% all 4 cycles.</p>



## Appendix E3. Key Question 3: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Setting and Study Years	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Type of Intervention (experimental and control groups, dose, duration of treatment)	Duration of Followup and Followup Method
Dash, 2008 <sup>19</sup> Retrospective cohort High	United States Single Center 2000-2006	Muscle-invasive bladder cancer, T2-T4a, N0; received NAC with Gemcitabine/Cisplatin or MVAC	Clinical indication of metastatic disease, including adenopathy >2cm, non transitional cell carcinoma, T4b disease	A: NAC: Gemcitabine + Cisplatin, predominately given as: "Single dose" cisplatin administration consisted of 4 cycles, with 21 day intervals of cisplatin 70 mg/m2 and gemcitabine 1000 mg/m2 on day 1, and gemcitabine 1000 mg/m2 on day 8. "Split-dose" cisplatin administration consisted of 4 cycles, with 21 day intervals of cisplatin 35 mg/m2 and gemcitabine 1000 mg/m2 on days 1 and 8.  B: NAC: Methotrexate, vinblastine, doxorubicin and cisplatin given as 4 cycles at 28-day intervals. Doses were not reported.	Overall duration of followup: NR Median followup for survivors: Gemcitabine/ Cisplatin: 24.2 months; MVAC: 48.1 months Followup method: NR
Freiha, 1996 <sup>20</sup> Randomized controlled trial Medium	USA Single Center 1986- 1993	Stage T3b-4N0/+M0, TCC of bladder who underwent radical cystectomy with LN dissection	NR	A: Radical cystectomy with LN dissection + AC, 4 cycles every 21 day with methotrexate 30 mg/m2, and vinblastine 4 mg/m2 day 1 and 8, 100 mg/m2 cisplatin on day 2 (CMV) (n= 25)  B: Radical cystectomy with LN dissection (n=25)	Mean, median: 57 and 62 months Method: Every 3 months for year 1, every 4 months for year 2 and every 6 months thereafter. Physical exam, blood studies, chest X-ray. Urine cytology every 6 months. CT at months 3,6,9,15,24

## Appendix E3. Key Question 3: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects	Population Characteristics by Treatment Group (age, sex, race, smoking status, recurrent bladder cancer, stage of disease, tumor grade, functional status)	Results
Dash, 2008 <sup>19</sup> Retrospective cohort High	Screened: A: >700; B: NR Randomized: NA Analyzed: A: 42; B: 54	A vs. B Age (median): 64 vs. 63 Male: 76% (32/42) vs. 8% (43/54) Race: NR Smoker: NR Recurrent bladder cancer: NR Stage of disease: T2: 45% (19/42) vs. 59% (32/54) T3: 45% (19/42) vs. 28% (15/54) T4: 10% (4/42) vs. 13% (7/54) Tumor grade: NR Functional status: NR	GC results only. No statistical comparisons of A vs. B. Downstaging tumor at cystectomy: Overall: pT0: 26% (95%CI: 14-42); <pT2: 36% (95%CI: 21-52) <pT2, standard-dose cisplatin: 13/27; <pT2, split-dose cisplatin: 2/15; No statistical comparison, RR 0.60 95% CI 0.40-0.91
Freiha, 1996 <sup>20</sup> Randomized controlled trial Medium	Screened: 56 Randomized: 50 (27 vs. 28) Post-randomization exclusions: 5 (2 vs. 3) Lost to follow-up: NR	Age (mean): 59 vs. 64 Male: 92% (23/25) vs. 88% (22/25) Race: NR Smoker: NR Recurrent bladder cancer: NR Stage of disease: T3bN0: 16% (4/25) vs. 28% (7/25) T4N0: 12% (3/25) vs. 4% (1/25) pN+, 1 node: 16% (4/25) vs. 40% (10/25) pN+, 2 nodes: 20% (5/25) vs. 12% (3/25) pN+, 3 nodes: 16% (4/25) vs. 8% (2/25) pN+, 4+ nodes: 20% (5/25) vs. 8% (2/25) Grade: G2: 4% (1/25) vs. 0% (0/25) G3: 12% (3/25) vs. 28% (7/25) G4: 84% (21/25) vs. 72% (18/25) Functional status: NR	A vs. B Recurrence: 52% (13/25) vs. 76% (19/25), RR 0.68 95% CI 0.44-1.06 with mean / median interval to recurrence: 17.5 / 16.2 months (4-37 months) vs. 11.5 / 10.1 months (2-34 months), p=0.01, log rank test **6/19 recurrences in group B, 6 received CMV therapy** Survival: 52% (13/25) vs. 32% (8/25), p=0.32, log rank test, RR 0.71 95% CI 0.42-1.15 Mean and median survival time 56 and 63 months vs. 42 and 36 months  Survival according to nodal status N0: 71 % (5/7) vs. 25% (2/8), RR 0.38 95% CI 0.11-1.31 N+: 44% (8/18) vs. 35% (6/17) <= N3: 46% (6/13) vs. 40% (6/15) > N3: 40% (2/5) vs. 0% (0/2)

## Appendix E3. Key Question 3: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Adverse Events and Withdrawals due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Comments
Dash, 2008 <sup>19</sup> Retrospective cohort High	Hospitalized during treatment: 9/42	NR	Retrospective cohort, does not report comparisons between MVAC and GC
Freiha, 1996 <sup>20</sup> Randomized controlled trial Medium	1/25 death from neutropenia and sepsis after cycle 1 of CMV 2/50 deaths from MI after cystectomy (at 40 days and 72 months - not sure from which group) 2/25 in group A episodes of neutropenia and fever requiring hospitalization 8/25 Group A neutropenia that delayed chemotherapy 1/50 Group A heart failure that recovered (? group) 3/25 Group A decrease in GFR requiring modification to chem dosing (2 of 3 recovered fully, 1 had creatinine of 2.6 after last cycle of chemotherapy) 8/25 Group A GI toxicity (2 bleeding, 2 mucositis, 4 nausea and vomiting) 2/25 Group DVT (1 leading to nonfatal PE) (? group)	NR	Patients randomized to observation (group B) who showed evidence of recurrence were treated with CMV chemotherapy. One patient received 5-fluorouracil with CMV

## Appendix E3. Key Question 3: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Setting and Study Years	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Type of Intervention (experimental and control groups, dose, duration of treatment)	Duration of Followup and Followup Method
Grossman, 2003 <sup>21</sup> Randomized controlled trial Medium	USA 126 centers 1987-1998	T2-4aN0M0 who were candidates for radical cystectomy, "adequate renal, hepatic, and hematologic function", SWOG performance status 0- 1	Prior pelvic irradiation	A: neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC), three 28-day cycles with methotrexate 30 mg/m2 on days 1, 15 and 22; vinblastine 3 mg/m2 on days 2, 15 and 22; doxorubicin 30 mg/m2 and cisplatin 70 mg/m2 on day 2 (M-VAC) + cystectomy with LN dissection (n=153)  B: Cystectomy with LN dissection (n=154)	Median: 8.7 years vs. 8.4 years

## Appendix E3. Key Question 3: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects	Population Characteristics by Treatment Group (age, sex, race, smoking status, recurrent bladder cancer, stage of disease, tumor grade, functional status)	Results
Grossman, 2003 <sup>21</sup> Randomized controlled trial Medium	Screened: NR Randomized: 317 (158 vs. 159) Post-randomization exclusions: 10 (5 vs. 5) Lost to follow-up: NR	Age (mean): 63 vs. 63 Male: 83% (127/153) vs. 81% (124/154) Race: NR Smoker: NR Recurrent bladder cancer: NR Stage of disease: T2: 40% (61/153) vs. 40% (61/154) T3/T4a: 60% (92/153) vs. 60% (93/154) Functional status: NR	A vs. B Downstaging tumor (pT0 at time of surgery): 38% (48/126) vs. 12% (15/121), p<0.001 Deaths: 59% (90/153) vs. 65% (100/154) over follow-up period with Median survival (months), unstratified: 77 vs. 46, p=0.05 log rank test Survival at 5 years 57% vs. 43%, p=0.06 Median survival (months) stratified for age: age <65: 104 vs. 67, age ≥ 65: 61 vs. 30 p=0.05, log rank test Median survival (months) stratified for tumor stage: T2: 105 vs. 75; T3/T4a: 65 vs. 24, p=0.05, log rank test  Cystectomy only group had a 33% increased risk of death compared to the M-VAC/cystectomy group (stratified analysis) Overall mortality 59% vs. 65%, HR 0.75, 95% CI 0.57 to 1.00 Disease-specific mortality 35% vs. 50%, HR 0.60, 95% CI 0.41 to 0.82, p=0.002

## Appendix E3. Key Question 3: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Adverse Events and Withdrawals due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Comments
Grossman, 2003 <sup>21</sup> Randomized controlled trial Medium	Group A: 35/150 and 50/150 had grade 3 and 4 granulocytopenia, respectively. 7/150, grade 3 thrombocytopenia. 9/150 grade 3 anemia 30/150 grade 3 GI toxicity (nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, constipation, stomatitis)	Cooperative Agreements with the National Cancer Institute, Dept of HHS.	Planned cystectomy in 82% (27/153) group A, 81% (30/154) group B. 9 patients (2 vs. 7) had cystectomy outside the study. 3/153 decline chemotherapy in group A. 87% of group A received at least one full cycle of M-VAC.

## Appendix E3. Key Question 3: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Setting and Study Years	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Type of Intervention (experimental and control groups, dose, duration of treatment)	Duration of Followup and Followup Method
International Collaboration of Trialists, 1999 <sup>22</sup> Randomized controlled trial Medium	20 countries 106 centers 1989-1995	T2G3--T4a TCC of bladder or mixed cell types TCC / squamous or glandular metaplasia. Histologic confirmation of muscle invasion. WBC > 3.5 x10 <sup>9</sup> , platelets > 100x10 <sup>9</sup>	Tumors > 7cm by imaging or bimanual palpation, nodal metastases, GFR < 60 mL/minute for first 448 patients, changed to GFR < 50 mL/minute thereafter Prior systemic chemotherapy or radiation. Any other prior cancer	A: NAC every 21 days for 3 cycles with methotrexate 30 mg/m2, vinblastine 4 mg/m2 on day 1 and day 8; cisplatin 100 mg/m2 on day 2 (CMV) + cystectomy +/- LN dissection or radiotherapy (RT) or RT and cystectomy (n=491)  B: cystectomy with LN dissection or radiotherapy or RT and cystectomy. (n=485)  **Cystectomy as salvage therapy for recurrence in RT group. **local radical treatment chosen before randomization for each patient **radiotherapy protocol permitted a range of radiation dose-schedules. RT prior to cystectomy was 4 Gy x 5days.	Median: 4 years. Method: Option for group A: cystoscopy, bimanual palpation, TURB after 3 cycles of chemotherapy before radiotherapy or cystectomy to assess for response.

## Appendix E3. Key Question 3: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects	Population Characteristics by Treatment Group (age, sex, race, smoking status, recurrent bladder cancer, stage of disease, tumor grade, functional status)	Results
International Collaboration of Trialists, 1999 <sup>22</sup> Randomized controlled trial Medium	Screened: NR Randomized: 976 (491 vs. 485) Post-randomization exclusions: NR Lost to follow-up: 6 (4 vs. 2)	Age (median): 64 vs. 64 Male: 433/491 (88%) vs. 430/485 (89%) Race: NR Smoker: NR Recurrent bladder cancer: NR Stage of disease: T2: 34% (169/491) vs. 34% (165/485) T3: 58% (285/491) vs. 58% (282/485) T4: 8% (37/491) vs. 8% (38/485) Tumor grade: G1: 1% (6/491) vs. 0.2% (2/485) G2: 11% (52/491) vs. 13% (61/485) G3: 88% (433/491) vs. 87% (421/485) unknown grade: 0% vs. 0.2% (1/485) Functional status: WHO 0: 69% (340/491) vs. 69% (337/485) WHO 1: 26% (130/491) vs. 26% (128/485) WHO 2: 4% (20/491) vs. 4% (19/485) WHO 3: 0.2% (1/491) vs. 0.2% (1/485) Nodal status: N0: 67% (327/491) vs. 63% (307/485) NX: 33% (164/491) vs. 37% (178/485) Radical treatment: Radiotherapy: 42% (207/491) vs. 43% (208/485) Cystectomy: 50% (246/401) vs. 49% (239/485) Radiotherapy + cystectomy: 8% (38/491) vs. 8% (38/485)	A vs. B Locoregional disease free survival: 47% vs. 42%, HR 0.87 (0.73-1.02, p=0.087, Mantel-Cox (M-C) log rank test) Median locoregional disease free survival (months): 23.5 vs. 20 No evidence of a difference between treatments for locoregional control, HR 0.97 (0.79-1.19, p=0.738 M-C log rank) Metastasis free survival: 45% vs. 53%, HR 0.79 (0.66-0.93, p=0.007, M-C log rank test) Median metastasis free survival (months): 32 vs. 25 Disease free survival: 46% vs. 39%, HR 0.82 (0.70-0.97, p=0.019, M-C log rank test) Median disease free survival (months): 20 vs. 16.5 Deaths: 229/491 vs. 256/485 Survival: HR 0.85 (95% CI 0.71-1.02, p=0.075, M-C log rank test) Median survival (months): 44 vs. 37.5  Overall 3 year survival: 55.5% vs. 50% (95% CI for difference -0.5-11.0)  no significant interaction with age (p=0.38), sex (p=0.39), WHO performance status (p=0.94). Renal function the interaction was significant (p=0.024) with chemotherapy more effective with increased GFR  no significant interaction with age (p=0.38), sex (p=0.39), WHO performance status (p=0.94). Renal function the interaction was significant (p=0.024) with chemotherapy more effective with increased GFR  **No restriction of salvage therapy which was given to 36% (347/976). 11% (37/347) received CMV, 15% (51/347) received other chemotherapy, total 25%, 88/347 received additional chemotherapy (21 vs. 67). 20% (68/347) received radiotherapy, 18% (61/347) had salvage cystectomy; 37 % (130/347) patients underwent other procedures including intravesical chemotherapy.



## Appendix E3. Key Question 3: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Adverse Events and Withdrawals due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Comments
International Collaboration of Trialists, 1999 <sup>22</sup> Randomized controlled trial Medium	5/491 group A died of toxic effects of chemotherapy (mortality 1%) WHO grade 3-4: leukopenia 16% thrombocytopenia 6.5% neutropenic fever 10% 4 patients did not received planned cystectomy due to chemotherapy toxic effects 18 (6 vs. 12) deaths were attributable to cystectomy (mortality 3.7%) 10.5% post-op wound infections (20 vs. 31)	NR	99/491 in group A did not receive all 3 cycles of chemotherapy; 28/99 received no chemotherapy. 76/561 patients did not receive planned cystectomy; 95/415 (23%) did not receive full planned radiotherapy treatment. 159 (32.4%) underwent cystoscopy after chemotherapy; complete response confirmed in 71/159 (44.7%).

## Appendix E3. Key Question 3: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Setting and Study Years	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Type of Intervention (experimental and control groups, dose, duration of treatment)	Duration of Followup and Followup Method
International Collaboration of Trialists, 2011 <sup>23</sup> Randomized controlled trial Medium	20 countries 106 centers 1989-1995	Histologically proven muscle- invasive urothelial cell carcinoma T2-T4a, GFR > 50 mL/minute/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>	NR	A: NAC every 21 days for 3 cycles methotrexate 30 mg/m <sup>2</sup> and vinblastine 4 mg/ m <sup>2</sup> on day 1 and 8, cisplatin 100 mg/m <sup>2</sup> day 2 (CMV) + radiation therapy (RT), cystectomy or RT and cystectomy (n=491)  B: Radiation therapy (RT), cystectomy or RT and cystectomy (n=485)  The choice of definitive treatments was based on patient and physician choice, not randomly assigned.	Median: 8 years

## Appendix E3. Key Question 3: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects	Population Characteristics by Treatment Group (age, sex, race, smoking status, recurrent bladder cancer, stage of disease, tumor grade, functional status)	Results
International Collaboration of Trialists, 2011 <sup>23</sup> Randomized controlled trial Medium	Screened: NR Randomized: 976 (491 vs. 485) Post-randomization exclusions: NR Lost to follow-up: 6 (4 vs. 2)	No per group numbers listed Age (mean): 64 Male: 863 (88%) Race: NR Smoker: NR Recurrent bladder cancer: NR Stage: T2: 334 (34%) T3: 567 (58%) T4a: 75 (8%) Functional Status: WHO 0-3 (most 0-1) Local definitive treatment: RT: 415/976, 43% (193 vs. 210) Cystectomy: 485/976, 50% (216 vs. 212) RT + cystectomy: 76/976 (8%)	A vs. B (cystectomy patients only) Locoregional recurrence: 40% (84/212) vs. 39% (84/216) Locoregional disease-free survival 55% (119/216) vs. 65% (137/212), HR 0.74 (95% CI 0.58-0.95, p=0.019) Overall survival in patients: HR 0.74 (CI 0.57-0.96) p=0.022  no interaction related to stage of disease (p=0.35) or nodal status (p=0.96). G3 cancers were associated with greater benefit than G1/G2 cancers (p=0.003 for interaction). Interaction for tumor size close to but did not reach statistical significance (p=0.06)

## Appendix E3. Key Question 3: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Adverse Events and Withdrawals due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Comments
International Collaboration of Trialists, 2011 <sup>23</sup> Randomized controlled trial Medium	5/491 patients who received CMV died from toxic effects during treatment (mortality rate, 1%) In CMV group WHO grade 3-4 leukopenia, thrombocytopenia and neutropenic fever occurred in 16%, 6.5%, and 10% of patients respectively No grade 3 or 4 renal toxic events occurred, but 26% of those in CMV arm required dose decreases or dose delays because impaired renal function	NR	**The choice of definitive treatment was based on patient and physician choice, NOT randomly assigned**

## Appendix E3. Key Question 3: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Setting and Study Years	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Type of Intervention (experimental and control groups, dose, duration of treatment)	Duration of Followup and Followup Method
Malmstrom, 1996 <sup>24</sup> Randomized controlled trial Medium  Rintala, 1993 <sup>25</sup>	Finland, Norway, Sweden 36 centers 1985-1989	T1g3-T4aNXM0 bladder cancer	Prior radiation therapy or systemic chemotherapy. Prior or current other malignancy	A: NAC, 2 cycles separated by 3 weeks with cisplatin 70 mg/m2 and doxorubicin 30 mg/m2 + RT + cystectomy with LN dissection (n=151)  B: RT and cystectomy with LN dissection (n=160)	Malmstrom: Minimum of 5 years  Rintala 1993: Mean 18 months for all (1-74) and 47 months for those still alive (21-75). 4 month intervals x 2 years, then every 6 months x 1 year, then yearly (no mention of what was done at follow-up).

## Appendix E3. Key Question 3: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects	Population Characteristics by Treatment Group (age, sex, race, smoking status, recurrent bladder cancer, stage of disease, tumor grade, functional status)	Results
<p>Malmstrom, 1996<sup>24</sup> Randomized controlled trial Medium</p> <p>Rintala, 1993<sup>25</sup></p>	<p>Screened: NR Randomized: 325 (157 vs. 168) Post-randomization exclusions: 14 (6 vs. 8) Lost to follow-up: 2 total</p>	<p>Age (mean): NR Male: 82% (124/151) vs. 76% (122/160) Race: NR Smoker: NR Recurrent bladder cancer: NR Stage of disease: T1g3: 18% (27/151) vs. 19% (31/160) T2: 34% (52/151) vs. 40% (64/160) T3: 46% (69/151) vs. 34% (55/160) T4a: 2% (3/151) vs. 6% (10/160) Functional status: WHO 0: 74% (111/151) vs. 76% (121/160) WHO 1-2: 26% (40/151) vs. 24% (39/160)</p>	<p>Malmstrom: A vs. B Recurrence in those patients with no signs of cancer after cystectomy: total 71/249 (31 vs. 40, RR0.82 (95% CI 0.54-1.24) with median interval to relapse 23 months vs. 14 months, p=0.42) Overall survival at 5 years: 59% vs. 51%, p=0.10, log rank test Cancer specific survival at 5 years: 64% vs. 54%, p=0.07, log rank test Overall survival at 5 years for 266 patients undergoing cystectomy/ resection: 65% vs. 58%, no p value given Cancer specific survival at 5 years for 266 patients undergoing cystectomy/ resection: 71% vs. 62%, no p-value given Relative risk of death, adjusted for tumor stage: RR= 0.69 (95% CI 0.49-0.98)  5 year survival by age Patients &lt; 60 years (N=75): 61% vs. 49%, p=0.21 Patients ≥ 60 years (N=236): 58% vs. 51%, p=0.21  Cancer specific survival at 5 years by tumor grade: T1: 77% vs. 71%, not statistically significant T2 58% vs. 55%, not statistically significant T3-T4a: 52% (n=72) v s. 37% (n=65), p=0.03, log rank test  Rintala: Survival, patients with T2-T4a, according to downstaging, p0-1 vs. p2 (n=213), no specific number given but in favor of p0-1, p=0.0005 Downstaging of tumors at time of surgery pT1g3 tumors pre-treatment --&gt; pT0, pTis, pT1: 20/27 vs. 22/31 (p= 0.002, chi-squared test)</p>

## Appendix E3. Key Question 3: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Adverse Events and Withdrawals due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Comments
Malmstrom, 1996 <sup>24</sup> Randomized controlled trial Medium  Rintala, 1993 <sup>25</sup>	6 deaths (2 vs. 4) within 1 month after cystectomy 16 wound dehiscence (6 vs. 10) 17 small bowel obstruction (13 vs. 4) 8 pelvic abscess (4 vs. 4) 7 thromboembolic events (3 vs. 4) 6 with sepsis (3 vs. 3) 10 urine leakages (6 vs. 4) 32 "other" (not specified) (13 vs. 19)	NR	11% T2-T4a tumors with no histologic proof of muscle invasion; Deviations from scheduled surgery: 21 vs. 26 (2 partial bladder resection, 30 laparotomy only, 15 no laparotomy). No chemotherapy in 10, only 1 cycle in 8 and > 25% reduction cisplatin in 4 and no radiotherapy 8.

## Appendix E3. Key Question 3: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Setting and Study Years	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Type of Intervention (experimental and control groups, dose, duration of treatment)	Duration of Followup and Followup Method
Sherif, 2002 <sup>26</sup> Randomized controlled trial Medium	Sweden, Finland, Norway Multi-center, number not reported 1991-1997	T2-4aNXM0 urothelial bladder cancer, "normal - moderately reduced kidney function" (by pre-defined nomogram), "acceptable bone marrow function" (WBC > 3 x 10 <sup>9</sup> /l, platelet >= 100 x 10 <sup>9</sup> /l and WHO performance status <= 2	SCC or adenocarcinoma of bladder, previous RT or chemotherapy, previous history of/or concomitant other malignancy (except in situ cancer cervix or BCC skin)	A: NAC, 3 cycles at 3 week intervals with cisplatin 100 mg/m2, methotrexate 250 mg/m2 + cystectomy with LN dissection (n=155)  B: Cystectomy with LN dissection (n=154)	Median: 5.3 years. Method: Every 4 months for 2 years, then every 6 months for 2 years, then yearly for 1 year. (physical exam, creatinine, chest X-ray, Intravenous pyelography at 4, 16 and 36 months).
Skinner, 1991 <sup>27</sup> Randomized controlled trial Medium	USA Single center 1980-1988	Surgically confirmed invasive carcinoma of the bladder (TCC or TCC associated with squamous or glandular differentiation with or without carcinoma in situ), stage p3, p4, or N+ and M0, no involved LNs above the aortic bifurcation, age 9-75 years	Prior noncutaneous malignancy within 10 years, prior chemotherapy or pelvic RT, bilirubin > 1.5, serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase more than 2 times normal, elevated alkaline phosphatase, WBC < 3.5, platelets < 150,000, Serum Creatinine > 1.0, Karnofsky performance status less than 50, medical/social/ psychological factors that would make patient poor risk for completion of chemotherapy.	A: Cystectomy with LN dissection + AC, 4 cycles at 28-day intervals starting 6 weeks after surgery with cisplatin 100 mg/m2, doxorubicin 60 mg/m2 and cyclophosphamide 600 mg/m2 (n=44)  B: Cystectomy with LN dissection ( n=47)	Median: 32 months, with all but 6 patients followed beyond 1 year. Method: Every 4 months for 1 year, then every 6 months for 3 years, then yearly thereafter. (Chest X-ray, urogram, laboratory tests, physical exam. CT, MRI or bone scans based on symptoms/ abnormal lab values).



## Appendix E3. Key Question 3: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects	Population Characteristics by Treatment Group (age, sex, race, smoking status, recurrent bladder cancer, stage of disease, tumor grade, functional status)	Results
Sherif, 2002 <sup>26</sup> Randomized controlled trial Medium	Screened: NR Randomized: 317 (158 vs. 159) Post-randomization exclusions: 8 (3 vs. 5) Lost to follow-up: NR	Age (mean): 64.6 vs. 65.1 Male: 75% (116/155) vs. 86% (133/154) Race: NR Smoker: NR Recurrent bladder cancer: NR Tumor stage: T2: 41% (64/155) vs. 42% (65/154) T3: 52% (80/155) vs. 49% (76/154) T4a: 7% (10/155) vs. 8% (13/154) Tx: 1% (1/155) vs. 0% Functional status: NR	A vs. B Recurrence locoregional and distant mets: 6% (9/155) vs. 8% (12/154) Recurrence locoregional only: 10% (15/155) vs. 9% (14/154), RR 1.06, 95% CI 0.53-2.13 Recurrence distant mets only: 13% (20/155) vs. 16% (24/154) None of recurrence statistically significant Overall 5-year survival: 53% vs. 46% (p=0.2375, log rank test) Overall survival HR, HR= 0.8 (0.6-1.1) 5 year survival in T2 group, p=0.5356, log rank test Overall survival HR T2 group, HR = 0.8 (0.5-1.5) 5 year survival in T3-T4a group, p=0.2740, log rank test Overall survival HR T3-T4a group, HR =0.8 (0.6-1.2) Downstaging tumors (defined as pT0 disease compared to other pT-stages): 26.4% (37/140) vs. 11.5% (16/139)
Skinner, 1991 <sup>27</sup> Randomized controlled trial Medium	Screened: 498 Eligible: 160 (59 declined) Consented: 101 (10 had pure SCC or adenocarcinoma) Randomized: 91 Post-randomization exclusions: NR Lost to follow-up: NR	Age (median): 61 vs. 62 Male: 77% (34/44) vs. 74% (35/47) Race: NR Smoker: NR Recurrent bladder cancer (prior bladder resections): 7% vs. 19% Tumor stage: T1 or 2: 7% (3/44) vs. 11% (5/47) T3a: 23% (10/44) vs. 15% (7/47) T3b: 45% (20/44) vs. 51% (24/47) T4: 25% (11/44) vs. 23% (11/47) Tumor grade: G2 5% (2/44) vs. 9% (4/47) G3 50% (22/44) vs. 50% (23/47) G4 45% (20/44) vs. 41% (19/47) missing: 0/44 vs. 1/47 Lymph node status: 0 nodes 61% (27/44) vs. 66% (31/47) 1 +LN 16% (7/44) vs. 21% (10/47) 2+ +LN 23% (10/44) vs. 13% (6/47) Functional status: NR	A vs. B Probability of disease recurrence at 3 years: 0.30 (SE=0.08) vs. 0.54 (SE=0.08), p=0.011, unstratified Wilcoxon test Time to recurrence for node negative patients only is significant with p=0.043 Probability of dying from bladder cancer within 3 years: 0.29 (SE=0.08) vs. 0.50 (SE=0.08) Probability of dying of any cause within 3 years: 0.34 (SE=0.08) vs. 0.50 (SE=0.08) No survival benefit of chemotherapy for all patients, p=0.099 For node negative patients only there was not overall survival benefit to chemotherapy, p=0.14 Chemotherapy benefit seen for LN negative and 1 LN positive cases protection from recurrence and the survival advantage were seen in first 3 years, less evident by 5 years. Benefit of chemotherapy was significant for time to recurrence, (p=0.0010, stratified Wilcoxon) and for survival, (p=0.0062 stratified Wilcoxon) after stratifying for the 3 nodal groups N0, N1, N2+)

## Appendix E3. Key Question 3: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Adverse Events and Withdrawals due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Comments
Sherif, 2002 <sup>26</sup> Randomized controlled trial Medium	NR	Swedish Cancer Society, Swedish Society of Medicine, Johanna Hagstrands and Sigfrid Linnars Foundation, Finnish Cancer Society	Deviations from protocol: In experimental arm, A, 14 patients received no NAC, 9 received 1 cycle, 14 received 2 cycles and 3 with missing data. In control arm, B, 1 patient received 3 cycles of chemo. 132/155 vs. 139/154 underwent cystectomy
Skinner, 1991 <sup>27</sup> Randomized controlled trial Medium	10 total admissions for chemotherapy complications in 7 patients. Cause of hospitalization: neutropenic fever in 5, dehydration in 1, dehydration + neutropenic fever in 4 No chemotherapy related drug toxicity deaths or long term sequelae.	NR	17 patients in group A received individualized chemotherapy regimens, thereafter all received the same regimen. 11/44 patients in group A did not receive chemotherapy; of 33 patients who did receive chemo 1/33 received 6 cycles, 20/33 4 cycles, 2/33 3 cycles, 6/33 2 cycles, 4/33 1 cycle; 32/33 received cisplatin and 25/33 received either doxorubicin or cyclophosphamide.

## Appendix E3. Key Question 3: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Setting and Study Years	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Type of Intervention (experimental and control groups, dose, duration of treatment)	Duration of Followup and Followup Method
Wosnitzer, 2012 <sup>28</sup> Retrospective Cohort Medium	United States Single Center 1988-2009	T2-T4a, N0-N2, M0	Metastatic disease at initiation of induction or salvage chemotherapy	A: Neoadjuvant chemotherapy, cisplatin or carboplatin based  B: Adjuvant chemotherapy, cisplatin or carboplatin based  Dosing/Duration: NR	Median followup: A vs. B: 12.8 vs. 14 months

## Appendix E3. Key Question 3: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects	Population Characteristics by Treatment Group (age, sex, race, smoking status, recurrent bladder cancer, stage of disease, tumor grade, functional status)	Results
Wosnitzer, 2012 <sup>28</sup> Retrospective Cohort Medium	Screened: 687 Randomized: NA Post-randomization exclusions: NA Lost to followup: NR Analyzed: 146; A: 73, B: 73	A vs. B: Age (mean): 64 vs. 66 years Male: 52/73 (71%) vs. 53/73 (73%) Race: Caucasian: 60/73 (82%) vs. 56/73 (77%); African American: 3/73 (4%) vs. 2/73 (3%); Latin: 8/73 (11%) vs. 1/73 (1%); Other: 6/73 (8%) vs. 10/73 (14%) Smoker: 20/73 (27%) vs. 19/73 (26%) Recurrent disease: NR Stage of disease >T2: 18/73 (25%) vs. 40/73 (55%); Node status >N0: 5/73 (7%) vs. 29/73 (40%) Tumor grade: NR Functional status: NR	A vs. B Disease specific survival: Univariate HR=1.28 (95%CI: 0.76-2.16), p=0.36; multivariate HR=1.24 (95%CI: 0.70-2.18), p=0.46  Overall survival: Univariate HR=1.12 (95% CI: 0.73-1.73), p=0.60; multivariate HR=1.08 (95% CI: 0.67-1.73), p=0.76  Cisplatin based treatment: median survival: 11 vs. 12.5 months Disease specific survival: NSD, data NR Overall survival: NSD, data NR  MVAC treatment: median survival: 16 vs. 22 months Disease specific survival: NSD, p=0.555 Overall survival: NSD, p=0.573  Gemcitabine/cisplatin treatment: median survival: 11 vs. 10.5 months Disease specific survival: HR=10.06 (95%CI: 1.01-112.2), p=0.049 Overall survival: NSD, p=0.607  Carboplatin based treatments: median survival: 8.9 vs. 10 months Disease specific survival: NSD, p=0.764 Overall survival: NSD, p=0.388

## Appendix E3. Key Question 3: Included Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year Study Name Study Design Risk of Bias	Adverse Events and Withdrawals due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Comments
Wosnitzer, 2012 <sup>28</sup> Retrospective Cohort Medium	NR	NR	Stage of disease reported as clinical stage in group A, but pathologic stage in group B.

AC=adjuvant chemotherapy; ANC=Absolute neutrophil count; BCC=basal cell cancer; CI=confidence interval; CMV=cisplatin, methotrexate, vinblastine ; Cr=serum creatinine level ; CT=computerized tomography; DVT=Deep venous thrombosis; ECOG =Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; G=Grade; G1=Grade 1; G2=Grade 2; G3=Grade 3; G4=Grade 4; GC=Gemcitabine plus cisplatin; GFR=glomerular filtration rate; GI=Gastrointestinal; Gy=Gray; HHS=Health and Human Services; HR=Hazard ratio; LN =Lymph Node; M0=without evidence of metastasis; M2=Metastasis stage 2; M-C=Mantel-Cox; MI=Myocardial infarction; MRI=Magnetic resonance imaging; MVAC=Methotrexate, Vinblastine, Doxorubicin, Cisplatin; N+=without regional lymph node involvement; N0=without regional lymph node involvement; N1=Node stage 1; N2=Node stage 2; N3=Node stage 3; NA=Not applicable; NAC=neoadjuvant cisplatin based; NR=Not reported; NSD=no significant difference; Nx=Nodes not removed or unknown; p3=pathological stage 3; p4=pathological stage 4; PE=Pulmonary embolus; PICOTS=Populations, interventions, comparators, outcomes, timing, study designs; pN+=pathologically node-positive; pN0=Node stage 0 determined by pathology; pN1=Node stage 1 determined by pathology; pN2=Node stage 2 determined by pathology; PS=performance status; pT2=Tumor stage 2 determined by pathology; pT3=Tumor stage 3 determined by pathology; pTO=(complete remission) at time of cystectomy; RCT=Randomized Controlled Trial; RR=Relative risk; RT=radiotherapy; SCC=squamous cell carcinoma; SE=standard error; SWOG=Southwest Oncology Group; T=Tumor; T1=Tumor stage 1; T2=Tumor stage 2; T3=Tumor stage 3; T3a=Tumor stage 3a; T3b=Tumor stage 3b; T4=Tumor stage 4; T4a=Tumor stage 4a; T4b=Tumor stage 4b; TCC=Transitional cell carcinoma; TUR=Trans-urethral resection; WBC=white blood cells; WHO=World Health Organization

## Appendix F1. Key Question 1: Randomized Controlled Trials Risk of Bias

Author, Year	Randomization Adequate?	Allocation Concealment Adequate?	Groups Similar at Baseline?	Eligibility Criteria Specified?	Outcome Assessors Masked?	Care Provider Masked?	Patient Masked?
Sell, 1991 <sup>7</sup>	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No

## Appendix F1. Key Question 1: Randomized Controlled Trials Risk of Bias

Author, Year	Attrition Reported?	Overall Loss to Followup <20%? Differential Attrition <10%?	Intention-to-Treat Analysis?	Postrandomization Exclusions	Outcomes Prespecified?	Risk of Bias	Comments
Sell, 1991 <sup>7</sup>	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes (11 patients in EBRT switched to cystx)	Yes	High	Antiquated treatment regimens not used in contemporary practice

ITT = intention-to-treat; EBRT = external beam radiation therapy

## Appendix F2. Key Question 1: Cohort Studies Risk of Bias

Author, Year	Did the study attempt to enroll all (or a random sample of) patients meeting inclusion criteria, or a random sample (inception cohort)?	Were the groups comparable at baseline on key prognostic factors (age, sex, race, smoking status-if available, bladder cancer stage; e.g., by restriction or matching)?	Did the study maintain comparable groups through the study period?	Did the study use accurate methods for ascertaining exposures and potential confounders?	Were outcome assessors and/or data analysts blinded to the exposure being studied?	Did the article report attrition?	Did the study perform appropriate statistical analyses on potential confounders?	Overall loss to followup <20%? Differential attrition <10%?	Were outcomes prespecified and defined, and ascertained using accurate methods?	Risk of Bias
Bekelman, 2013 <sup>1</sup>	Yes	No (but similar in propensity adjusted analysis)	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Yes (censoring)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Medium
Holmang, 1997 <sup>2</sup>	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	No	No	Unclear	Yes	High
Kalogeras, 2008 <sup>3</sup>	Unclear	No	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Yes (censoring)	No	Unclear	Yes	High
Kotwal, 2008 <sup>4</sup>	Unclear	No	Unclear	No	NR	Yes (censoring)	Unclear (reported in text)	Yes, Yes	Yes	High
Nieuwenhuijzen, 2005 <sup>5</sup>	Unclear	No	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Yes (censoring)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Medium
Rincon Mayans, 2010 <sup>6</sup>	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	No	Unclear	Yes	High
Solsona, 2009 <sup>8</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Yes	No	Unclear	Yes	High



## Appendix F3. Key Question 2: Cohort Studies Risk of Bias

Author, Year	Did the study attempt to enroll all (or a random sample of) patients meeting inclusion criteria, or a random sample (inception cohort)?	Were the groups comparable at baseline on key prognostic factors (age, sex, race, smoking status-if available, bladder cancer stage; e.g., by restriction or matching)?	Did the study maintain comparable groups through the study period?	Did the study use accurate methods for ascertaining exposures and potential confounders?	Were outcome assessors and/or data analysts blinded to the exposure being studied?	Did the article report attrition?	Did the study perform appropriate statistical analyses on potential confounders?	Overall loss to followup <20%? Differential attrition <10%?	Were outcomes prespecified and defined, and ascertained using accurate methods?	Risk of Bias
Brossner, 2004 <sup>9</sup>	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	No	Unclear	Unclear	High
Dhar, 2008 <sup>10</sup>	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	No	Unclear	Yes	High
Konety, 2003 <sup>11</sup>	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	No	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Medium
Leissner, 2000 <sup>12</sup>	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	High
Poulsen, 1998 <sup>13</sup>	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	No	No	Unclear	Unclear	High
Shirotake, 2010 <sup>14</sup>	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	No	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Medium
Simone, 2013 <sup>15</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Medium
Zehnder, 2011 <sup>16</sup>	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	No	No	Unclear	Yes	High

## Appendix F4. Key Question 3: Randomized Controlled Trials Risk of Bias

Author, Year	Randomization Adequate?	Allocation Concealment Adequate?	Groups Similar at Baseline? (age, sex, race, smoking status-if available, bladder cancer stage)	Eligibility Criteria Specified?	Outcome Assessors Masked?	Care Provider Masked?
Bono, 1997 <sup>17</sup>	Unclear	No	Age: Yes Sex: NR per group Smoking status: NR Bladder cancer stage: Yes	Yes	NR	NR
Cognetti, 2012 <sup>18</sup>	Yes	No	Age: Yes Sex: No (male 92% vs. 87%) smoking status: NR Bladder cancer: Yes except pT2 30% vs. 22% and pN2 31% vs. 21%	Yes	NR	NR
Freiha, 1996 <sup>20</sup>	Unclear	No	Age: No (59 vs. 64) Sex: Yes smoking status: NR Bladder cancer stage: No	Yes	NR	NR
Grossman, 2003 <sup>21</sup>	Yes	No	Age: Yes Sex: Yes Smoking status: NR Bladder cancer stage: Yes	Yes	NR	NR
International Collaboration of Trialists, 1999 <sup>22</sup>	Unclear	No	Age: Yes Sex: Yes Smoking status: NR Bladder cancer stage: Yes	Yes	NR	NR

## Appendix F4. Key Question 3: Randomized Controlled Trials Risk of Bias

Author, Year	Patient Masked?	Attrition Reported?	Overall Loss to Followup <20%? Differential Attrition <10%?	Intention-to-Treat Analysis?	Postrandomization Exclusions?	Outcomes Prespecified?	Risk of Bias
Bono, 1997 <sup>17</sup>	No	No	Overall: yes (2/125 but not analyzed) Differential: NR	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Medium
Cognetti, 2012 <sup>18</sup>	No	No	Overall: Yes, 11/194 (5.6%) Differential: Yes 5/97 (5.2%) vs. 6/86 (7%)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Medium
Freiha, 1996 <sup>20</sup>	No	No	Unclear	Unclear	Yes total (5/55 = 9%)	Yes	Medium
Grossman, 2003 <sup>21</sup>	No	No	Unclear Unclear	Yes	No (total 10/317, 5 vs. 5)	Yes	Medium
International Collaboration of Trialists, 1999 <sup>22</sup>	No	No	Overall: Yes (6/976 total lost to follow) Differential: Yes (4 vs. 2)	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Medium

## Appendix F4. Key Question 3: Randomized Controlled Trials Risk of Bias

Author, Year	Randomization Adequate?	Allocation Concealment Adequate?	Groups Similar at Baseline? (age, sex, race, smoking status-if available, bladder cancer stage)	Eligibility Criteria Specified?	Outcome Assessors Masked?	Care Provider Masked?
International Collaboration of Trialists, 2011 <sup>23</sup>	Unclear. "minimization method for randomly assigning patients was used". Patients stratified by institution, choice of definitive treatment and tumor stage. Each institution selected its preferred definitive local treatment option (cystectomy vs. radiation therapy)	No	Unclear	Yes	NR	NR
Malmstrom, 1996 <sup>24</sup>	Unclear	No	Age: Yes Sex: Yes smoking status: NR Bladder cancer stage: No (T1g3 27 vs. 31, T2 52 vs. 64, T3 69 vs. 55, T4 3 vs. 10)	Yes	NR	NR
Millikan, 2001 <sup>29</sup> Rintala, 1993 <sup>25</sup>	Unclear	No	Yes	Yes	NR	No
Sherif, 2002 <sup>26</sup>	Unclear	No	Age: Yes Sex: No (male 116 (75%) vs 133 (86%)) smoking status: NR Bladder cancer stage: Yes	Yes	NR	NR
Skinner, 1991 <sup>27</sup>	No: Done to minimize differences between groups, stratified by gender, tumor stage, nodal status and histology	No	Age: Yes Sex: Yes Smoking status: NR Bladder cancer stage: Yes	Yes	NR	NR

## Appendix F4. Key Question 3: Randomized Controlled Trials Risk of Bias

Author, Year	Patient Masked?	Attrition Reported?	Overall Loss to Followup <20%? Differential Attrition <10%?	Intention-to-Treat Analysis?	Postrandomization Exclusions?	Outcomes Prespecified?	Risk of Bias
International Collaboration of Trialists, 2011 <sup>23</sup>	No	No Refusal to continue CMV therapy noted at 14/491 but no reports of study withdrawal for either group	Overall: Yes (6/976 total lost to follow) Differential: unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Medium
Malmstrom, 1996 <sup>24</sup>	No	Yes	Overall: Yes (total 2/311) differential: unclear	Yes	No (total 14/325)	Unclear	Medium
Millikan, 2001 <sup>29</sup> Rintala, 1993 <sup>25</sup>	No	No	Overall: Unclear Differential: Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Medium
Sherif, 2002 <sup>26</sup>	No	No	Unclear Unclear	Yes, for survival No, for tumor downstaging	No (total 8/317)	Unclear	Medium
Skinner, 1991 <sup>27</sup>	No	No	Unclear Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Medium

CMV = cisplatin, methotrexate, vinblastine; G3 = Grade 3; ITT = Intention-to-treat; NR = Not reported; pT2 = Tumor stage 2 determined by pathology; T1 = Tumor stage 1; T2 = Tumor stage 2; T3 = Tumor stage 3; T4 = Tumor stage 4

## Appendix F5. Key Question 3: Cohort Studies Risk of Bias

Author, Year	Did the study attempt to enroll all (or a random sample of) patients meeting inclusion criteria, or a random sample (inception cohort)?	Were the groups comparable at baseline on key prognostic factors (age, sex, race, smoking status-if available, bladder cancer stage; e.g., by restriction or matching)?	Did the study maintain comparable groups through the study period?	Did the study use accurate methods for ascertaining exposures and potential confounders?	Were outcome assessors and/or data analysts blinded to the exposure being studied?	Did the article report attrition?	Did the study perform appropriate statistical analyses on potential confounders?	Overall loss to followup <20%? Differential attrition <10%?	Were outcomes prespecified and defined, and ascertained using accurate methods?	Risk of Bias
Dash, 2008 <sup>19</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	NR	No	No	Unclear	Yes	High
Pal, 2012 <sup>30</sup>	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	Yes	NR	No	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Medium
Wosnitzer, 2012 <sup>28</sup>	Yes	No	Unclear	Yes	NR	No	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Medium
Yeshchina, 2012 <sup>31</sup>	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	NR	No	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Medium

NR = not reported

## Appendix G. Strength of Evidence

Key Question Outcome	Study Design Number of Studies (N)	Study Limitations	Consistency	Directness	Precision	Reporting Bias	Strength of Evidence Grade
<b>1. For patients with non-metastatic muscle-invasive bladder cancer, what is the effectiveness of bladder-preserving treatments (chemotherapy, external beam or interstitial radiation therapy, partial cystectomy, and/or maximal transurethral resection of bladder tumor) for decreasing mortality or improving other outcomes (e.g., recurrence, metastasis, quality of life, functional status) compared with cystectomy alone or cystectomy in combination with chemotherapy?</b>							
<i>Bladder preserving external beam radiation therapy (60 Gray) versus radical cystectomy plus radiation therapy (40 Gray): Median survival duration, local recurrence, regional recurrence</i>	1 RCT	High	Cannot determine	Direct	Imprecise	Undetected	Low
<i>Bladder-preserving therapies versus radical cystectomy: Overall survival, bladder-specific mortality, local recurrence, regional recurrence</i>	3 cohort studies	High	Inconsistent	Direct	Imprecise	Undetected	Insufficient
<i>Bladder-sparing therapy versus radical cystectomy: Quality of life</i>	No studies	-	-	-	-		Insufficient
<b>1a. Does the comparative effectiveness differ according to tumor characteristics, such as histology, stage, grade, size, or molecular/genetic markers?</b>							
<i>Bladder-sparing therapy versus radical cystectomy: Effectiveness</i>	No studies	-	-	-	-	-	Insufficient
<b>1b. Does the comparative effectiveness differ according to patient characteristics, such as age, sex, ethnicity, performance status, or medical comorbidities such as chronic kidney disease?</b>							
<i>Bladder-sparing therapy versus radical cystectomy: Effectiveness</i>	No studies	-	-	-	-	-	Insufficient
<b>1c . What is the comparative effectiveness of various combinations of agents and/or radiation therapy used for bladder-preserving chemotherapy?</b>							
<i>Different combinations of chemotherapeutic agents and/or radiation treatment: Effectiveness</i>	No studies	-	-	-	-	-	Insufficient
<b>1d. What is the effectiveness of different bladder-preserving treatments (chemotherapy, external beam or interstitial radiation therapy, partial cystectomy and/or maximal transurethral resection of bladder tumor) compared with one another?</b>							
<i>One type of bladder-preserving treatment versus another: Effectiveness</i>	1 cohort study	High	Cannot determine	Direct	Imprecise	Undetected	Insufficient

## Appendix G. Strength of Evidence

Key Question Outcome	Study Design Number of Studies (N)	Study Limitations	Consistency	Directness	Precision	Reporting Bias	Strength of Evidence Grade
<b>2. For patients with clinically non-metastatic muscle-invasive bladder cancer that is treated with cystectomy, does regional lymph node dissection improve outcomes compared with cystectomy alone?</b>							
<i>Regional lymph node dissection of at least four nodes: Mortality</i>	1 cohort study	Moderate	Cannot determine	Direct	Precise	Undetected	Low
<b>2a. Does the comparative effectiveness differ according to tumor characteristics, such as histology, stage, grade, size, or molecular/genetic markers?</b>							
<i>Radical cystectomy with versus without regional lymph node dissection: effectiveness</i>	No studies	-	-	-	-	-	Insufficient
<b>2b. Does the comparative effectiveness differ according to the extent of the regional lymph node dissection (e.g., as measured by the number of lymph nodes removed)?</b>							
<i>More extensive lymph node dissection versus less extensive or standard lymph node dissection: All-cause mortality, bladder cancer-specific mortality</i>	7 cohort studies	Moderate	Inconsistent	Direct	Precise	Undetected	Low
<i>Extent of lymph node dissection: Bladder cancer recurrence or progression</i>	4 cohort studies	High	Inconsistent	Direct	Imprecise	Undetected	Insufficient
<b>3. For patients with non-metastatic muscle-invasive bladder cancer that is treated with cystectomy, does neoadjuvant or adjuvant chemotherapy improve outcomes compared with cystectomy alone?</b>							
<i>Neoadjuvant chemotherapy vs. no neoadjuvant chemotherapy: Mortality</i>	4 RCTs	Moderate	Consistent	Direct	Precise	Undetected	Moderate
<i>Neoadjuvant CMV vs. no neoadjuvant chemotherapy: Likelihood of metastasis, likelihood of death</i>	1 RCT	Moderate	Cannot determine	Direct	Imprecise	Undetected	low
<i>Neoadjuvant chemotherapy vs. no neoadjuvant chemotherapy: Locoregional bladder cancer recurrence</i>	3 RCTs	Moderate	Consistent	Direct	Precise	Undetected	moderate
<i>Adjuvant chemotherapy vs. no adjuvant chemotherapy: Mortality</i>	4 RCTs	Moderate	Inconsistent	Direct	Precise	Undetected	Low
<i>Adjuvant chemotherapy vs. no adjuvant chemotherapy: bladder cancer progression</i>	1 RCT	Moderate	Cannot determine	Direct	Imprecise	Undetected	Low
<i>Adjuvant chemotherapy vs. no adjuvant chemotherapy: locoregional recurrence</i>	3 RCTs	Moderate	Consistent	Direct	Imprecise	Undetected	Insufficient



## Appendix G. Strength of Evidence

Key Question Outcome	Study Design Number of Studies (N)	Study Limitations	Consistency	Directness	Precision	Reporting Bias	Strength of Evidence Grade
<b>3a. What is the comparative effectiveness of various combinations of agents used for neoadjuvant or adjuvant chemotherapy?</b>							
<i>Adjuvant MVAC versus cisplatin and gemcitabine: comparative effectiveness</i>	2 cohort studies	High	Consistent	Direct	Imprecise	Undetected	Insufficient
<b>3b. Does the comparative effectiveness of various combinations of agents used for neoadjuvant or adjuvant chemotherapy differ according to tumor characteristics, such as histology, stage, grade, size, or molecular/genetic markers?</b>							
<i>Neoadjuvant chemotherapy vs. no neoadjuvant chemotherapy: Effectiveness</i>	3 RCTs	Moderate	Consistent	Direct	Imprecise	Undetected	Low
<i>Adjuvant chemotherapy vs. no adjuvant chemotherapy: Effectiveness</i>	2 RCTs	Moderate	Consistent	Direct	Imprecise	Undetected	Low
<b>3c. Does the comparative effectiveness differ according to patient characteristics, such as age, sex, ethnicity, performance status, or medical comorbidities such as chronic kidney disease?</b>							
<i>Neoadjuvant chemotherapy vs. no neoadjuvant chemotherapy in subgroups based on patient age: effectiveness</i>	3 RCTs	Moderate	Consistent	Direct	Imprecise	Undetected	Low
<i>Neoadjuvant chemotherapy vs. no neoadjuvant chemotherapy in subgroups of sex, performance status, renal function: effectiveness</i>	1 RCT	Moderate	Cannot determine	Direct	Imprecise	Undetected	Low
<b>3d. Does the comparative effectiveness of neoadjuvant or adjuvant chemotherapy differ according to dosing frequency and/or the timing of its administration relative to cystectomy?</b>							
<i>Adjuvant vs. neoadjuvant MVAC: Overall survival, bladder-cancer specific survival</i>	1 RCT 2 cohort studies	Moderate	Consistent	Direct	Imprecise	Undetected	Low
<i>Adjuvant cisplatin plus gemcitabine on day 2 versus day 15: 5-year survival</i>	1 RCT	Moderate	Cannot determine	Direct	Imprecise	Undetected	Low
<b>4. What are the comparative adverse effects of treatments for non-metastatic muscle-invasive bladder cancer?</b>							
<i>Bladder-sparing therapies versus radical cystectomy: Adverse events</i>	4 cohort studies	High	Cannot determine (harms reported inconsistently)	Direct	Imprecise	Undetected	Insufficient
<i>Extended lymph node dissection vs. standard lymph node dissection: Operative time</i>	1 cohort study	High	Cannot determine	Direct	Imprecise	Undetected	Low
<i>Neoadjuvant chemotherapy vs. no neoadjuvant chemotherapy: Surgical complications, perioperative deaths</i>	3 RCTs	Moderate	Consistent	Direct	Precise	Undetected	Moderate
<i>Neoadjuvant chemotherapy: Grade 3 or 4 hematological adverse events</i>	2 RCTs	Moderate	Consistent	Direct	Imprecise	Undetected	Low

## Appendix G. Strength of Evidence

Key Question Outcome	Study Design Number of Studies (N)	Study Limitations	Consistency	Directness	Precision	Reporting Bias	Strength of Evidence Grade
<i>Adjuvant chemotherapy vs. No adjuvant chemotherapy: adverse events</i>	3 RCTs	High	Consistent	Direct	Imprecise	Undetected	Insufficient
<i>Neoadjuvant vs. adjuvant MVAC: Mortality related to chemotherapy toxicity</i>	1 RCT	Moderate	Cannot determine	Direct	Imprecise	Undetected	Low

CMV = cisplatin, methotrexate, vinblastine; MVAC, Methotrexate, Vinblastine, Doxorubicin, Cisplatin; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SOE = strength of evidence

## Appendix References

1. Bekelman JE, Handorf EA, Guzzo T, et al. Radical cystectomy versus bladder-preserving therapy for muscle-invasive urothelial carcinoma: examining confounding and misclassification bias in cancer observational comparative effectiveness research. *Value in Health*. 2013 Jun;16(4):610-8. PMID: 23796296.
2. Holmang S, Hedelin H, Anderstrom C, et al. Long-term followup of all patients with muscle invasive (stages T2, T3 and T4) bladder carcinoma in a geographical region. *Journal of Urology*. 1997 Aug;158(2):389-92. PMID: 9224309.
3. Kalogeras D, Lampri E, Goussia A, et al. Radical therapy for muscle-infiltrating bladder cancer (cystectomy or radiotherapy): does age affect the final therapeutic benefit for the patient? *Journal of B.U.ON*. 2008 Jul-Sep;13(3):353-8. PMID: 18979549.
4. Kotwal S, Choudhury A, Johnston C, et al. Similar treatment outcomes for radical cystectomy and radical radiotherapy in invasive bladder cancer treated at a United Kingdom specialist treatment center. *International Journal of Radiation Oncology, Biology, Physics*. 2008 Feb 1;70(2):456-63. PMID: 17904301.
5. Nieuwenhuijzen JA, Pos F, Moonen LMF, et al. Survival after bladder-preservation with brachytherapy versus radical cystectomy: a single institution experience. *European Urology*. 2005 Aug;48(2):239-45. PMID: 16005375.
6. Rincon Mayans A, Rosell Costa D, Zudaire Bergera JJ, et al. Response and progression-free survival in T2 to T4 bladder tumors treated with trimodality therapy with bladder preservation. *Actas Urologicas Espanolas*. 2010 Oct;34(9):775-80. PMID: 20843454.
7. Sell A, Jakobsen A, Nerstrom B, et al. Treatment of advanced bladder cancer category T2 T3 and T4a. A randomized multicenter study of preoperative irradiation and cystectomy versus radical irradiation and early salvage cystectomy for residual tumor. DAVECA protocol 8201. Danish Vesical Cancer Group. *Scandinavian Journal of Urology & Nephrology Supplementum*. 1991;138:193-201. PMID: 1785004.
8. Solsona E, Climent MA, Iborra I, et al. Bladder preservation in selected patients with muscle-invasive bladder cancer by complete transurethral resection of the bladder plus systemic chemotherapy: long-term follow-up of a phase 2 nonrandomized comparative trial with radical cystectomy. *European Urology*. 2009 Apr;55(4):911-9. PMID: 18722046.
9. Brossner C, Pycha A, Toth A, et al. Does extended lymphadenectomy increase the morbidity of radical cystectomy? *BJU International*. 2004 Jan;93(1):64-6. PMID: 14678370.
10. Dhar NB, Klein EA, Reuther AM, et al. Outcome after radical cystectomy with limited or extended pelvic lymph node dissection. *Journal of Urology*. 2008 Mar;179(3):873-8; discussion 8. PMID: 18221953.
11. Konety BR, Joslyn SA, O'Donnell MA. Extent of pelvic lymphadenectomy and its impact on outcome in patients diagnosed with bladder cancer: analysis of data from the Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results Program data base. *Journal of Urology*. 2003 Mar;169(3):946-50. PMID: 12576819.
12. Leissner J, Hohenfellner R, Thuroff JW, et al. Lymphadenectomy in patients with transitional cell carcinoma of the urinary bladder; significance for staging and prognosis. *BJU International*. 2000 May;85(7):817-23. PMID: 10792159.
13. Poulsen AL, Horn T, Steven K. Radical cystectomy: extending the limits of pelvic lymph node dissection improves survival for patients with bladder cancer confined to the bladder wall. *Journal of Urology*. 1998 Dec;160(6 Pt 1):2015-9; discussion 20. PMID: 9817313.
14. Shirotake S, Kikuchi E, Matsumoto K, et al. Role of pelvic lymph node dissection in lymph node-negative patients with invasive bladder cancer. *Japanese Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2010 Mar;40(3):247-51. PMID: 19887521.
15. Simone G, Papalia R, Ferriero M, et al. Stage-specific impact of extended versus standard pelvic lymph node dissection in radical cystectomy. *International Journal of Urology*. 2013 Apr;20(4):390-7. PMID: 22970939.
16. Zehnder P, Studer UE, Skinner EC, et al. Super extended versus extended pelvic lymph node dissection in patients undergoing radical cystectomy for bladder cancer: a comparative study. *Journal of Urology*. 2011 Oct;186(4):1261-8. PMID: 21849183.
17. Bono AV, Benvenuti C, Gibba A, et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy in locally advanced bladder cancer. Final analysis of a controlled multicentre study. *Acta Urologica Italica*. 1997;11(1):5-8. PMID: No PMID.
18. Cognetti F, Ruggeri EM, Felici A, et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy with cisplatin and gemcitabine versus chemotherapy at relapse in patients with muscle-invasive bladder cancer submitted to radical cystectomy: an Italian, multicenter, randomized phase III trial. *Annals of Oncology*. 2012 Mar;23(3):695-700. PMID: 21859900.
19. Dash A, Pettus JAt, Herr HW, et al. A role for neoadjuvant gemcitabine plus cisplatin in muscle-invasive urothelial carcinoma of the bladder: a retrospective experience. *Cancer*. 2008 Nov 1;113(9):2471-7. PMID: 18823036.
20. Freiha F, Reese J, Torti FM. A randomized trial of radical cystectomy versus radical cystectomy plus cisplatin, vinblastine and methotrexate chemotherapy for muscle invasive bladder cancer. *Journal of Urology*. 1996 Feb;155(2):495-9; discussion 9-500. PMID: 8558644.
21. Grossman HB, Natale RB, Tangen CM, et al. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy plus cystectomy compared with cystectomy alone for locally advanced bladder cancer. [Erratum appears in *N Engl J Med*. 2003 Nov 6;349(19):1880]. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2003 Aug 28;349(9):859-66. PMID: 12944571.
22. International Collaboration of Trialists. Neoadjuvant cisplatin, methotrexate, and vinblastine chemotherapy for muscle-invasive bladder cancer: a randomised controlled trial. *International collaboration of trialists*. [Erratum appears in *Lancet* 1999 Nov 6;354(9190):1650]. *Lancet*. 1999 Aug 14;354(9178):533-40. PMID: 10470696.
23. International Collaboration of Trialists, Medical Research Council Advanced Bladder Cancer Working P, European Organisation for R, et al. International phase III trial assessing neoadjuvant cisplatin, methotrexate, and vinblastine chemotherapy for muscle-invasive bladder cancer: long-term results of the BA06 30894 trial. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2011 Jun 1;29(16):2171-7. PMID: 21502557.
24. Malmstrom PU, Rintala E, Wahlqvist R, et al. Five-year followup of a prospective trial of radical cystectomy and neoadjuvant chemotherapy: Nordic Cystectomy Trial I. *The*

## Appendix References

Nordic Cooperative Bladder Cancer Study Group. Journal of Urology. 1996 Jun;155(6):1903-6. PMID: 8618283.

25. Rintala E, Hannisdahl E, Fossa SD, et al. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy in bladder cancer: a randomized study. Nordic Cystectomy Trial I. Scandinavian Journal of Urology & Nephrology. 1993;27(3):355-62. PMID: 8290916.

26. Sherif A, Rintala E, Mestad O, et al. Neoadjuvant cisplatin-methotrexate chemotherapy for invasive bladder cancer -- Nordic cystectomy trial 2. Scandinavian Journal of Urology & Nephrology. 2002;36(6):419-25. PMID: 12623505.

27. Skinner DG, Daniels JR, Russell CA, et al. The role of adjuvant chemotherapy following cystectomy for invasive bladder cancer: a prospective comparative trial. Journal of Urology. 1991 Mar;145(3):459-64; discussion 64-7. PMID: 1997689.

28. Wosnitzer MS, Hruby GW, Murphy AM, et al. A comparison of the outcomes of neoadjuvant and adjuvant chemotherapy for clinical T2-T4aN0-N2M0 bladder cancer. Cancer. 2012 Jan 15;118(2):358-64. PMID: 21717438.

29. Millikan R, Dinney C, Swanson D, et al. Integrated therapy for locally advanced bladder cancer: final report of a randomized trial of cystectomy plus adjuvant M-VAC versus cystectomy with both preoperative and postoperative M-VAC. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2001 Oct 15;19(20):4005-13. PMID: 11600601.

30. Pal SK, Ruel NH, Wilson TG, et al. Retrospective analysis of clinical outcomes with neoadjuvant cisplatin-based regimens for muscle-invasive bladder cancer. Clinical Genitourinary Cancer. 2012 Dec;10(4):246-50. PMID: 22981208.

31. Yeshchina O, Badalato GM, Wosnitzer MS, et al. Relative efficacy of perioperative gemcitabine and cisplatin versus methotrexate, vinblastine, adriamycin, and cisplatin in the management of locally advanced urothelial carcinoma of the bladder. Urology. 2012 Feb;79(2):384-90. PMID: 22196406.